

Variations on a theme by Ribet

Tamás Szamuely

(joint work with Damian Rössler)

Theorem (Mordell–Weil) If k is a number field, A/k an abelian variety, then $A(k)$ is finitely generated.

Theorem (Mordell–Weil) If k is a number field, A/k an abelian variety, then $A(k)$ is finitely generated.

Generalization to infinite number fields?

Theorem (Mordell–Weil) If k is a number field, A/k an abelian variety, then $A(k)$ is finitely generated.

Generalization to infinite number fields?

Question (Mazur): Is $A(k(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ finitely generated?

Theorem (Mordell–Weil) If k is a number field, A/k an abelian variety, then $A(k)$ is finitely generated.

Generalization to infinite number fields?

Question (Mazur): Is $A(k(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ finitely generated?

Open in general, but:

Theorem (Serre, Imai) If k is a number field, A/k an abelian variety, $A(k(\mu_{p^\infty}))_{\text{tors}}$ is finite.

Theorem (Mordell–Weil) If k is a number field, A/k an abelian variety, then $A(k)$ is finitely generated.

Generalization to infinite number fields?

Question (Mazur): Is $A(k(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ finitely generated?

Open in general, but:

Theorem (Serre, Imai) If k is a number field, A/k an abelian variety, $A(k(\mu_{p^\infty}))_{\text{tors}}$ is finite.

Even better:

Theorem (Ribet) Let k be a number field, and $K = k(\mu_\infty)$, the field obtained by adjoining all roots of unity. If A/k is an abelian variety, the torsion subgroup $A(K)_{\text{tors}}$ is finite.

1. *Ribet's theorem is specific to the maximal cyclotomic extension of k .* Finiteness of the torsion subgroup may fail if one works over the maximal abelian extension.

1. *Ribet's theorem is specific to the maximal cyclotomic extension of k .* Finiteness of the torsion subgroup may fail if one works over the maximal abelian extension. Indeed, for an abelian variety of CM type all algebraic torsion points are defined over the maximal abelian extension of the number field. Conversely, for non-CM simple abelian varieties finiteness of the torsion subgroup holds over the maximal abelian extension as well (Zarhin).

1. *Ribet's theorem is specific to the maximal cyclotomic extension of k .* Finiteness of the torsion subgroup may fail if one works over the maximal abelian extension. Indeed, for an abelian variety of CM type all algebraic torsion points are defined over the maximal abelian extension of the number field. Conversely, for non-CM simple abelian varieties finiteness of the torsion subgroup holds over the maximal abelian extension as well (Zarhin).
2. *Ribet's theorem is specific to the torsion subgroup.* The Mordell–Weil rank of an abelian variety can be infinite over the maximal cyclotomic extension of a number field obtained by adjoining *all* complex roots of unity (Rosen–Wong).

Cohomological generalization

We offer two kinds of generalizations of Ribet's theorem. The first one is cohomological.

We offer two kinds of generalizations of Ribet's theorem. The first one is cohomological.

Theorem. Let k and K be as above, and set $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|K)$. Let X be a smooth proper geometrically connected variety X defined over k .

For all **odd** i and all j the groups $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ are finite.

We offer two kinds of generalizations of Ribet's theorem. The first one is cohomological.

Theorem. Let k and K be as above, and set $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|K)$. Let X be a smooth proper geometrically connected variety X defined over k .

For all **odd** i and all j the groups $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ are finite.

Remarks.

1. The twist j does not really play a role in the statement since G fixes all roots of unity.

We offer two kinds of generalizations of Ribet's theorem. The first one is cohomological.

Theorem. Let k and K be as above, and set $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|K)$. Let X be a smooth proper geometrically connected variety X defined over k .

For all **odd** i and all j the groups $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ are finite.

Remarks.

1. The twist j does not really play a role in the statement since G fixes all roots of unity.
2. Ribet's theorem is the special case $i = j = 1$ of the above statement.

Indeed, for $X = A^*$, the Kummer sequence induces a Galois-equivariant isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{A}^*, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(1)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{A}^*, \mathbf{G}_m)_{\text{tors}}$$

Indeed, for $X = A^*$, the Kummer sequence induces a Galois-equivariant isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{A}^*, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(1)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{A}^*, \mathbf{G}_m)_{\text{tors}}$$

But since the Néron–Severi group of an abelian variety is torsion free,

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{A}^*, \mathbf{G}_m)_{\text{tors}} \cong \text{Pic}^0(A^*)(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}} = A(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}}.$$

Indeed, for $X = A^*$, the Kummer sequence induces a Galois-equivariant isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{A}^*, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(1)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{A}^*, \mathbf{G}_m)_{\text{tors}}$$

But since the Néron–Severi group of an abelian variety is torsion free,

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{A}^*, \mathbf{G}_m)_{\text{tors}} \cong \text{Pic}^0(A^*)(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}} = A(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}}.$$

3. The theorem is *not* true for even degree cohomology. Counterexamples: projective space \mathbf{P}^n , smooth complete intersections of dim. n in \mathbf{P}^r (their cohomology is the same as that of \mathbf{P}^n except in degree n where that of \mathbf{P}^r is a direct summand).

One may also view Ribet's theorem as a finiteness result about the codimension 1 Chow group of smooth projective varieties. In this spirit we propose the following conjectural generalization.

One may also view Ribet's theorem as a finiteness result about the codimension 1 Chow group of smooth projective varieties. In this spirit we propose the following conjectural generalization.

Conjecture. Let k and K and X be as above. Denote by X_K the base change of X to K .

For all $i > 0$ the codimension i Chow groups $CH^i(X_K)$ have finite torsion subgroup.

One may also view Ribet's theorem as a finiteness result about the codimension 1 Chow group of smooth projective varieties. In this spirit we propose the following conjectural generalization.

Conjecture. Let k and K and X be as above. Denote by X_K the base change of X to K .

For all $i > 0$ the codimension i Chow groups $CH^i(X_K)$ have finite torsion subgroup.

Remark. For X itself the Chow groups $CH^i(X)$ are conjecturally finitely generated (a consequence of the generalized Bass conjecture on the finite generation of motivic cohomology groups of regular schemes of finite type over \mathbf{Z}).

Theorem. Assume moreover $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Then the torsion subgroup of $CH^2(X)$ has finite exponent. It is finite if furthermore the ℓ -adic cohomology groups $H_{\text{ét}}^3(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ are torsion free for all ℓ .

Theorem. Assume moreover $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Then the torsion subgroup of $CH^2(X)$ has finite exponent.

It is finite if furthermore the ℓ -adic cohomology groups $H_{\text{ét}}^3(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ are torsion free for all ℓ .

Remarks.

1. All geometric assumptions of the theorem are satisfied, for instance, by smooth complete intersections of dimension > 2 in projective space.

Theorem. Assume moreover $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Then the torsion subgroup of $CH^2(X)$ has finite exponent.

It is finite if furthermore the ℓ -adic cohomology groups $H_{\text{ét}}^3(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ are torsion free for all ℓ .

Remarks.

1. All geometric assumptions of the theorem are satisfied, for instance, by smooth complete intersections of dimension > 2 in projective space.
2. For X/k satisfying $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ the torsion part of $CH^2(X)$ is known to be finite *over* k (Colliot-Thélène, Raskind, Salberger).

Over \bar{k} we have Bloch's Abel–Jacobi map

$$CH^i(\bar{X})_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(i))$$

which is injective for $i = 2$.

Over \bar{k} we have Bloch's Abel–Jacobi map

$$CH^i(\bar{X})_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(i))$$

which is injective for $i = 2$. It is moreover functorial in \bar{X} , hence Galois-equivariant.

Over \bar{k} we have Bloch's Abel–Jacobi map

$$CH^i(\bar{X})_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(i))$$

which is injective for $i = 2$. It is moreover functorial in \bar{X} , hence Galois-equivariant.

So for $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|K)$ we have an injection

$$CH^2(\bar{X})_{\text{tors}}^G \hookrightarrow H^3(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(2))^G$$

where the group on the right hand side is finite by our cohomological theorem. It therefore suffices to study the group $\ker(CH^2(X_K) \rightarrow CH^2(\bar{X}))$.

Over \bar{k} we have Bloch's Abel–Jacobi map

$$CH^i(\bar{X})_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(i))$$

which is injective for $i = 2$. It is moreover functorial in \bar{X} , hence Galois-equivariant.

So for $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|K)$ we have an injection

$$CH^2(\bar{X})_{\text{tors}}^G \hookrightarrow H^3(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(2))^G$$

where the group on the right hand side is finite by our cohomological theorem. It therefore suffices to study the group $\ker(CH^2(X_K) \rightarrow CH^2(\bar{X}))$. This we do by adapting methods by Bloch, Suslin, Colliot-Thélène, Raskind...

k is the function field of a curve C defined over a finite field \mathbf{F} ,
 $K = k\overline{\mathbf{F}} = \overline{\mathbf{F}}(C)$.

k is the function field of a curve C defined over a finite field \mathbf{F} ,
 $K = k\overline{\mathbf{F}} = \overline{\mathbf{F}}(C)$.

The analogue of Ribet's theorem is plainly false for an abelian variety that is already defined over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$. We have to impose a non-isotriviality condition.

k is the function field of a curve C defined over a finite field \mathbf{F} ,
 $K = k\overline{\mathbf{F}} = \overline{\mathbf{F}}(C)$.

The analogue of Ribet's theorem is plainly false for an abelian variety that is already defined over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$. We have to impose a non-isotriviality condition.

Theorem (Lang–Néron) Let k and K be as above, A/k an abelian variety whose base change A_K has trivial $K|\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ -trace. Then the torsion subgroup of $A(K)$ is finite.

In fact, the Lang–Néron theorem says that the group $A(K)$ is even finitely generated, but we only need the torsion part here.

For higher degree cohomology, we have to find a replacement for the non-isotriviality assumption.

For higher degree cohomology, we have to find a replacement for the non-isotriviality assumption.

Definition. Let $k = \mathbf{F}(C)$ and $K = \overline{\mathbf{F}}(C)$ be as above, X/k a smooth proper geometrically connected variety, ℓ a prime different from $p = \text{char}(\mathbf{F})$.

For higher degree cohomology, we have to find a replacement for the non-isotriviality assumption.

Definition. Let $k = \mathbf{F}(C)$ and $K = \overline{\mathbf{F}}(C)$ be as above, X/k a smooth proper geometrically connected variety, ℓ a prime different from $p = \text{char}(\mathbf{F})$.

The cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(j))$ has *large variation* if after finite extension of the base field \mathbf{F} there exists a proper flat morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ of finite type with generic fibre X and two \mathbf{F} -rational points $c_1, c_2 \in C$ such that the fibres $\mathcal{X}_{c_1}, \mathcal{X}_{c_2}$ are smooth and the associated Frobenius elements $\text{Frob}_{c_1}, \text{Frob}_{c_2}$ act on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(j))$ with coprime characteristic polynomials.

1. As usual, the action of the Frob_{c_r} ($r = 1, 2$) is to be understood as follows. We pick a decomposition group $D_r \subset \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|k)$ attached to c_r ; it is defined only up to conjugacy but this does not affect the definition. The smoothness condition on \mathcal{X}_{c_r} implies that the inertia subgroup $I_r \subset D_r$ acts trivially on cohomology, hence we have an action of $D_r/I_r = \langle \text{Frob}_{c_r} \rangle$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(j))$.

1. As usual, the action of the Frob_{c_r} ($r = 1, 2$) is to be understood as follows. We pick a decomposition group $D_r \subset \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|k)$ attached to c_r ; it is defined only up to conjugacy but this does not affect the definition. The smoothness condition on \mathcal{X}_{c_r} implies that the inertia subgroup $I_r \subset D_r$ acts trivially on cohomology, hence we have an action of $D_r/I_r = \langle \text{Frob}_{c_r} \rangle$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(j))$.
2. It is a consequence of the Weil conjectures that the above definition is independent of the prime ℓ .

3. In the case where X is an abelian variety and \mathcal{X} its Néron model over C , the large variation assumption with respect to the model \mathcal{X} has the following geometric reformulation: there exist two closed points $c_1, c_2 \in C$ whose associated geometric fibres are abelian varieties over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ having no common simple isogeny factor.

3. In the case where X is an abelian variety and \mathcal{X} its Néron model over C , the large variation assumption with respect to the model \mathcal{X} has the following geometric reformulation: there exist two closed points $c_1, c_2 \in C$ whose associated geometric fibres are abelian varieties over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ having no common simple isogeny factor.

If the $k|\mathbf{F}$ -trace of X is nontrivial, it is not hard to check that all geometric fibres must have a common simple isogeny factor. It would be nice to know whether the converse holds.

Based on the above definition, we have

Theorem. Let k , K and X be as in the previous definition. Assume moreover that $i > 0$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ are such that the cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(j))$ has large variation. Then the group $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(j))^G$ is finite, where

$$G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|K)$$

and

$$(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(j) = \bigoplus_{\ell \neq p} \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell(j).$$

We propose the following analogue of the conjecture on Chow groups.

We propose the following analogue of the conjecture on Chow groups.

Conjecture. Let k , K and X be as in the above definition. Given $i > 0$, assume that the cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^{2i-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(i))$ has large variation for $\ell \neq p$, where $p = \text{char}(k)$.

Then the prime-to- p torsion subgroup of $CH^i(X_K)$ is finite.

Theorem. Assume moreover that X is a projective **surface** which is liftable to characteristic 0 and for which the coherent cohomology group $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ vanishes. Under the large variation assumption for $i = 2$, the prime-to- p torsion subgroup of $CH^2(X_K)$ is finite.

Theorem. Assume moreover that X is a projective **surface** which is liftable to characteristic 0 and for which the coherent cohomology group $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ vanishes. Under the large variation assumption for $i = 2$, the prime-to- p torsion subgroup of $CH^2(X_K)$ is finite.

The liftability assumption holds for smooth complete intersections or for surfaces satisfying the condition $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/k}) = 0$ in addition to $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, where $\mathcal{T}_{X/k}$ denotes the tangent sheaf. We have to restrict to dimension 2 in order to ensure the vanishing of $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for the lifting as well.

Proof of cohomological finiteness (char. 0)

We now sketch the proof of the finiteness of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ for odd i .

Proof of cohomological finiteness (char. 0)

We now sketch the proof of the finiteness of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ for odd i .

Recall: k is a number field,

$$K = k(\mu_\infty), \quad G = \text{Gal}(K|k).$$

One reduces to proving two statements:

Proof of cohomological finiteness (char. 0)

We now sketch the proof of the finiteness of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ for odd i .

Recall: k is a number field,

$$K = k(\mu_\infty), \quad G = \text{Gal}(K|k).$$

One reduces to proving two statements:

Statement 1. If i is odd, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G = 0$$

for all primes p .

Proof of cohomological finiteness (char. 0)

We now sketch the proof of the finiteness of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ for odd i .

Recall: k is a number field,

$$K = k(\mu_\infty), \quad G = \text{Gal}(K|k).$$

One reduces to proving two statements:

Statement 1. If i is odd, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G = 0$$

for all primes p .

Statement 2. If i is odd, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}(j))^G = 0$$

for all but finitely many primes p .

Proof of Statement 1

The proof of Statement 1 goes back to the argument of Imai and Serre in the 1970's. It uses the following fact from algebraic number theory:

Proof of Statement 1

The proof of Statement 1 goes back to the argument of Imai and Serre in the 1970's. It uses the following fact from algebraic number theory:

Lemma. For every p the largest subextension of $K|k$ unramified outside the primes dividing p and infinity is obtained as the composite of $k(\mu_{p^\infty})$ with the largest subextension of $K|k$ unramified at all finite primes (which is a finite extension).

Proof of Statement 1

Assume p is such that $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G \neq 0$. The Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|k)$ acts on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$ via its quotient Γ/G .

Proof of Statement 1

Assume p is such that $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G \neq 0$. The Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}|k)$ acts on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$ via its quotient Γ/G .

– Choose a simple nonzero Γ -submodule W of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$. As Γ/G is abelian and W is simple, the elements of Γ act semisimply on W .

Proof of Statement 1

Assume p is such that $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G \neq 0$. The Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\overline{k}|k)$ acts on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$ via its quotient Γ/G .

– Choose a simple nonzero Γ -submodule W of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$. As Γ/G is abelian and W is simple, the elements of Γ act semisimply on W .

– By the local monodromy theorem the action of inertia on W is quasi-unipotent at all primes not dividing p . Hence up to replacing k by a finite extension (which we may do) we may assume that the action of inertia at these primes is trivial.

Proof of Statement 1

Assume p is such that $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G \neq 0$. The Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\overline{k}|k)$ acts on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$ via its quotient Γ/G .

– Choose a simple nonzero Γ -submodule W of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$. As Γ/G is abelian and W is simple, the elements of Γ act semisimply on W .

– By the local monodromy theorem the action of inertia on W is quasi-unipotent at all primes not dividing p . Hence up to replacing k by a finite extension (which we may do) we may assume that the action of inertia at these primes is trivial.

– Then the above Lemma implies that, again up to replacing k by a finite extension, the action of Γ on W factors through $\Gamma_p := \text{Gal}(k(\mu_{p^\infty})|k)$.

Proof of Statement 1

Assume p is such that $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G \neq 0$. The Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\overline{k}|k)$ acts on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$ via its quotient Γ/G .

– Choose a simple nonzero Γ -submodule W of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))^G$. As Γ/G is abelian and W is simple, the elements of Γ act semisimply on W .

– By the local monodromy theorem the action of inertia on W is quasi-unipotent at all primes not dividing p . Hence up to replacing k by a finite extension (which we may do) we may assume that the action of inertia at these primes is trivial.

– Then the above Lemma implies that, again up to replacing k by a finite extension, the action of Γ on W factors through $\Gamma_p := \text{Gal}(k(\mu_{p^\infty})|k)$.

Proof of Statement 1

Let now v be a prime of k dividing p , and $D_v \subset \Gamma$ a decomposition group of v .

Proof of Statement 1

Let now v be a prime of k dividing p , and $D_v \subset \Gamma$ a decomposition group of v .

– The abelian semisimple representation W of Γ restricts to a Hodge–Tate representation of D_v , by Hodge–Tate decomposition of the étale cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))$.

Proof of Statement 1

Let now v be a prime of k dividing p , and $D_v \subset \Gamma$ a decomposition group of v .

- The abelian semisimple representation W of Γ restricts to a Hodge–Tate representation of D_v , by Hodge–Tate decomposition of the étale cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))$.
- Therefore, by a theorem of Tate, some open subgroup of D_v , and hence of Γ , acts on W via the direct sum of integral powers of the p -adic cyclotomic character χ_p . Replacing k by a finite extension for the last time, we may assume that the whole of Γ acts in this way.

Proof of Statement 1

Let now v be a prime of k dividing p , and $D_v \subset \Gamma$ a decomposition group of v .

- The abelian semisimple representation W of Γ restricts to a Hodge–Tate representation of D_v , by Hodge–Tate decomposition of the étale cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))$.
- Therefore, by a theorem of Tate, some open subgroup of D_v , and hence of Γ , acts on W via the direct sum of integral powers of the p -adic cyclotomic character χ_p . Replacing k by a finite extension for the last time, we may assume that the whole of Γ acts in this way.
- A Frobenius element F_w at a prime w of good reduction thus acts with eigenvalues that are integral powers of $\chi_p(F_w) = Nw$ (the cardinality of the residue field of w). But by the Weil conjectures as proven by Deligne, these eigenvalues should have absolute value $(Nw)^{i/2-j}$, a contradiction for odd i . □

Proof of Statement 2

Again we are allowed to replace k by a finite extension throughout the proof. But we have to take care of all sufficiently large p together.

Again we are allowed to replace k by a finite extension throughout the proof. But we have to take care of all sufficiently large p together.

– Up to replacing k by a finite extension, we may assume that for *all* primes p , every inertia subgroup in $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}|k')$ associated with a prime not lying above p acts unipotently on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))$. This uses the strong form of the local monodromy theorem (de Jong–Deligne)

Proof of Statement 2

Again we are allowed to replace k by a finite extension throughout the proof. But we have to take care of all sufficiently large p together.

– Up to replacing k by a finite extension, we may assume that for *all* primes p , every inertia subgroup in $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}|k')$ associated with a prime not lying above p acts unipotently on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))$. This uses the strong form of the local monodromy theorem (de Jong–Deligne)

– Next, we replace k by its maximal extension contained in K in which no finite prime ramifies.

For all but finitely many p the following conditions are all satisfied:

- 1 p is unramified in k .

Proof of Statement 2

For all but finitely many p the following conditions are all satisfied:

- 1 p is unramified in k .
- 2 $\mu_p \not\subset k$.

For all but finitely many p the following conditions are all satisfied:

- 1 p is unramified in k .
- 2 $\mu_p \not\subset k$.
- 3 X has good reduction at the primes dividing p .

Assume now that there exist infinitely many primes p satisfying the conditions above for which $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}(j))^G \neq 0$. We shall derive a contradiction.

– By arguments similar to the above, for each such p the restriction of the action of Γ/G to a simple Γ -submodule $W_p \subset H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ factors through $\text{Gal}(k(\mu_p)|k) \cong \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. Since W_p is simple for the action of Γ , it must be 1-dimensional over \mathbf{F}_p , with Γ acting by a power $\bar{\chi}_p^{n(p)}$ of the mod p cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_p$.

- By arguments similar to the above, for each such p the restriction of the action of Γ/G to a simple Γ -submodule $W_p \subset H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ factors through $\text{Gal}(k(\mu_p)|k) \cong \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. Since W_p is simple for the action of Γ , it must be 1-dimensional over \mathbf{F}_p , with Γ acting by a power $\bar{\chi}_p^{n(p)}$ of the mod p cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_p$.
- By Serre's tame inertia conjecture (proven in our case by Fontaine–Laffaille, and by Caruso in general), there exists a bound N independent of p such that the integer $n(p)$ appearing in the above action satisfies $n(p) \leq N$.

- By arguments similar to the above, for each such p the restriction of the action of Γ/G to a simple Γ -submodule $W_p \subset H_{\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}(j))^G$ factors through $\text{Gal}(k(\mu_p)|k) \cong \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. Since W_p is simple for the action of Γ , it must be 1-dimensional over \mathbf{F}_p , with Γ acting by a power $\bar{\chi}_p^{n(p)}$ of the mod p cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_p$.
- By Serre's tame inertia conjecture (proven in our case by Fontaine–Laffaille, and by Caruso in general), there exists a bound N independent of p such that the integer $n(p)$ appearing in the above action satisfies $n(p) \leq N$.

Proof of Statement 2

– Choose a place w of k not dividing p where X has good reduction, and let N_w be the cardinality of its residue field. The Frobenius at w acts on W_p as multiplication by $(N_w)^{n(p)}$. If Q is its characteristic polynomial on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))$ (which has \mathbf{Z} -coefficients), we thus have $Q((N_w)^{n(p)}) \equiv 0$ modulo p .

Proof of Statement 2

- Choose a place w of k not dividing p where X has good reduction, and let Nw be the cardinality of its residue field. The Frobenius at w acts on W_p as multiplication by $(Nw)^{n(p)}$. If Q is its characteristic polynomial on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(j))$ (which has \mathbf{Z} -coefficients), we thus have $Q((Nw)^{n(p)}) \equiv 0$ modulo p .
- By the previous paragraph, this congruence holds for infinitely many p but with $n(p)$ varying between 0 and a fixed bound N . Hence for some integer $0 \leq n(p) \leq N$ we must have $Q((Nw)^{n(p)}) = 0$. But by the Weil conjectures proven by Deligne, we must then have $(Nw)^{n(p)} = (Nw)^{i/2-j}$, which is impossible for odd i .

1. In his proof, Ribet used the Oort–Tate classification of finite group schemes at the point where we invoked Serre’s tame inertia conjecture. On the other hand, instead of our final weight argument (which nicely parallels the proof of Statement 1) he exploited the finiteness of global torsion on abelian varieties over k . In the general case we do not have such deep global information at our disposal.

Concluding remarks

1. In his proof, Ribet used the Oort–Tate classification of finite group schemes at the point where we invoked Serre’s tame inertia conjecture. On the other hand, instead of our final weight argument (which nicely parallels the proof of Statement 1) he exploited the finiteness of global torsion on abelian varieties over k . In the general case we do not have such deep global information at our disposal.
2. Although the two statements are different in nature, there are remarkable similarities between the above proof and that of Faltings for his theorem that the height of abelian varieties over number fields is bounded in an isogeny class. Compare especially with the rendition by Deligne in his Bourbaki seminar.