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#### Abstract

Let $C$ be a reduced curve contained in a smooth algebraic surface. In this paper we show that for a generic divisor $\mathcal{F}$ on $C$ of degree $\geq p_{a}(B)$ on each subcurve $B \subseteq C$ we have $h^{1}(C, \mathcal{F})=0$, and if the degree is $\geq p_{a}(B)+1$ on each subcurve $B \subseteq C$ then the system $|\mathcal{F}|$ is base point free.

As an application we show that a divisor $\mathcal{H}$ on a reduced connected curve $C$ is normally generated if $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid B} \geq 2 p_{a}(B)+1$ for all subcurve $B$ $\subseteq C$.


## Introduction

Let $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{s}$ be a curve contained in a smooth algebraic surface ( $\Gamma_{i}$ irreducible components) and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an invertible sheaf on C. For each $i$ the natural inclusion map $\epsilon_{i}: \Gamma_{i} \rightarrow C$ induces a map $\epsilon_{i}^{*}: \mathcal{F}_{\mid C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mid \Gamma_{i}}$.

Following the papers [Ar 1-2] we let $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{F}_{\mid \Gamma_{i}}$ (for the definition of degree on a curve we refer to the next section) and we define the multidegree of $\mathcal{F}$ on $C \mathbf{d}:=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)$.

By Pic ${ }^{\mathbf{d}}$ we will denote the Picard scheme which parameterizes the class of invertible sheaves of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$.

Let $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{s}$. We say that $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)$ satisfies: condition (1) if for any $\mathcal{F}$ invertible sheaf of multidegree $\boldsymbol{d}$ we have

$$
\forall B \subset C, \quad p_{a}(B) \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{F}_{\mid B}
$$

condition (2) if for any $\mathcal{F}$ invertible sheaf of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$ we have

$$
\forall B \subset C, \quad p_{a}(B)+1 \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{F}_{\mid B}
$$

Our first results are the following theorems:
Theorem A Assume $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{s}$ to be a reduced curve contained in smooth algebraic surface. If $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)$ satisfies condition (1) then

$$
W=\left\{[\mathcal{F}] \mid \mathcal{F} \text { has of multidegree } \mathbf{d} \text { and } h^{1}(C, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}(C)
$$

has dimension $<\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}(C)\right)$, that is, for $[\mathcal{F}]$ generic in $\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}} H^{1}(C, \mathcal{F})=0$.

[^0]Theorem B Assume $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{s}$ to be a reduced curve contained in smooth algebraic surface. If $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)$ satisfies condition (2) then for $[\mathcal{F}]$ generic in $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}},|\mathcal{F}|$ is a base-point free system.

Theorem A and B follow essentially from Serre and Grothendieck duality

$$
H^{1}(C, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{C}\right) \quad \text { for } \mathcal{F} \text { a coherent sheaf, }
$$

(where d denotes duality of vector spaces) and from an extension to the reduced case of the classical Abel map.

If $C$ is reduced and $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(D_{1}+\ldots+D_{s}\right)$, where $D_{i}=P_{i, 1}+\ldots+P_{i, d_{i}} \subset \Gamma_{i}$, $[\mathcal{F}]$ generic corresponds to a generic choice of the points on each component.

We apply the above theorems to study the homogeneous ring

$$
R(C, \mathcal{H})=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is an invertible sheaf of sufficiently positive degree. If $\mathcal{H}$ has degree at least $2 p_{a}(B)+1$ on each subcurve $B$ of $C$ then $R$ is generated in degree 1 .

Theorem C Let C be a reduced, connected curve contained in a smooth algebraic surface and let $\mathcal{H}$ be an invertible sheaf on $C$ such that

$$
\forall B \subset C, \quad 2 p_{a}(B)+1 \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid B}
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ is normally generated on $C$, that is, the multiplication maps

$$
\rho_{k}:\left(H^{0}(X, \mathcal{H})\right)^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}\right)
$$

are surjective for all $k$.
This is a generalization of a classical result due to Castelnuovo and Mumford in the case where $C$ is smooth and irreducible.

Under this numerical conditions, in [CFHR] it was proved that $\mathcal{H}$ is very ample. Considering the embedding $\varphi_{|\mathcal{H}|}: C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ associated to the system $|\mathcal{H}|$, in our case it is not true that for the image of $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ the subscheme obtained from a general hyperplane section behaves like $d$ points in "general position" (in the sense of $[A C G H]$ ), since for example $C$ may have several irreducible components, each of them contained in a proper subspace.

Anyway, in this case, theorem A simply says that we can find the points in "relative general position", i.e., if $\Gamma \subset C$ is an irreducible component contained in $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$, the points on $\Gamma$ are in general position.

The proof of theorem $C$ then will follow by the standard arguments of Castelnuovo theory.
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## 1 Notation and background results

## Notation

For all the paper we will assume $C$ to be a reduced curve contained in a smooth algebraic surface defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p.
$|\mathcal{H}|$ If $\mathcal{H}$ is an invertible sheaf on $C,|\mathcal{H}|$ will denote the linear system of divisors of sections of $H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H})$.
$\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid C}$ The degree of $\mathcal{H}$ on $C$; it can be defined for every torsion free sheaf of rank 1 by

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid C}=\chi(\mathcal{H})-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)
$$

$p_{a}(C)$ The arithmetic genus of $C, p_{a}(C)=1-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)$.
$\omega_{C}$ Dualising sheaf of $C$ (see [Ha], Chap. III, $\S 7$ ).
Notice that from the definition of degree we get $\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{C}\right)=2 p_{a}(C)-2$.
If $C=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ scheme theoretically with $\operatorname{dim} C_{1} \cap C_{2}=0$ and $x \in C_{1} \cap C_{2}$, we can define (cf. [Ca], p. 54)

$$
\left(C_{1} \cdot C_{2}\right)_{x}=\text { length } \mathcal{O}_{C_{1} \cap C_{2}, x} ; \quad C_{1} \cdot C_{2}=\sum_{x \in C_{1} \cap C_{2}} \text { length } \mathcal{O}_{C_{1} \cap C_{2}, x}
$$

Notice that if $C=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$, with $\operatorname{dim} C_{1} \cap C_{2}=0$, then we recover the classical formula

$$
p_{a}(C)=p_{a}\left(C_{1}\right)+p_{a}\left(C_{2}\right)+C_{1} \cdot C_{2}-1
$$

Sometimes, with abuse of notation, we will denote the curve $C_{2}$ as $C-C_{1}$.
Definition 1.1 $A$ (reduced) curve $C$ is numerically $m$-connected if $C_{1} . C_{2} \geq m$ for every decomposition $C=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$

We recall that in [C-F-H-R] it is introduced a notion of m-connectedness for $C$ Gorenstein, possibly non reduced, in terms of the degree of the dualising sheaf $\omega_{C}$ on each subcurve $B$.

A cluster $Z$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} Z=r$ is simply a 0 -dimensional subscheme with length $\mathcal{O}_{Z}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \mathcal{O}_{Z}=r$.

If $C=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ with $\operatorname{dim} C_{1} \cap C_{2}=0$ we will denote by $\mathcal{O}_{C_{1}}\left(C_{2}\right)$ the cluster on $C_{1}$ defined by the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{C_{2}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_{1}}$.

### 1.1 Background results on projective curves

A fundamental instrument in the study of sheaves on projective curves with several components is the following lemma (which appears in [CFHR]). For the reader's benefit we reproduce here the proof.

Lemma 1.2 (Automatic adjunction) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $C$, and $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \omega_{C}$ a map of $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-modules. Set $\mathcal{J}=\operatorname{Ann} \varphi \subset \mathcal{O}_{C}$, and write $B \subset C$ for the subscheme defined by $\mathcal{J}$. Then $B$ is Cohen-Macaulay and $\varphi$ has a canonical factorisation of the form

$$
\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mid B} \rightarrow \omega_{B}=\mathcal{H} o m_{\mathcal{O}_{C}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}, \omega_{C}\right) \subset \omega_{C}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mid B} \rightarrow \omega_{B}$ is generically onto.

Proof. First, $\omega_{C}$ is torsion free, because $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{G}, \omega_{C}\right)=0$ for any sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ with 0 dimensional support, hence $\mathcal{J}=\operatorname{Ann} \varphi$ has no embedded primes, and $\mathcal{O}_{B}=\mathcal{O}_{C} / \mathcal{J}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. By construction of $\mathcal{J}$, the image of $\varphi$ is contained in the submodule

$$
\left\{s \in \omega_{C} \mid \mathcal{J} s=0\right\} \subset \omega_{C} .
$$

But this clearly coincides with $\mathcal{H o m}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}, \omega_{C}\right)$. Now the inclusion morphism $B \hookrightarrow C$ is finite, and $\omega_{B}=\mathcal{H} o m_{\mathcal{O}_{C}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}, \omega_{C}\right)$ is just the adjunction formula for a finite morphism (see, for example, [Ha], Chap. III, §7, Ex. 7.2, or [R], Prop. 2.11).

The factorisation (1.2) goes like this: $\varphi$ is killed by $\mathcal{J}$, so it factors via the quotient module $\mathcal{F} / \mathcal{J} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{\mid B}$. As just observed, it maps into $\omega_{B} \subset \omega_{C}$. Finally, it maps onto every generic stalk of $\omega_{B}$, again by definition of $\mathcal{J}$ : a submodule of the sum of generic stalks $\bigoplus \omega_{B, \eta}$ is the dual to the generic stalk $\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}, \eta}$ of a purely 1-dimensional subscheme $B^{\prime} \subset B$, and $\varphi$ is not killed by the corresponding ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}$. Q.E.D.

## 1.2 $\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}(C)$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}^{\delta}(C)$

Let $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{s}$ be a reduced curve contained in a smooth algebraic surface ( $\Gamma_{i}$ irreducible components) and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an invertible sheaf on C. For each $i$ the natural inclusion map $\epsilon_{i}: \Gamma_{i} \rightarrow C$ induces a map $\epsilon_{i}^{*}: \mathcal{F}_{\mid C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mid \Gamma_{i}}$.

Following the papers [Ar 1-2] we let $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{F}_{\mid \Gamma_{i}}$ and we define the multidegree of $\mathcal{F}$ on $C \mathbf{d}:=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)$.

Let Pic ${ }^{\mathbf{d}}$ be the Picard scheme which parameterizes the class of invertible sheaves of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$.

It has a natural structure of an extension of an abelian variety (corresponding to the normalization of $C$ ) by an affine group (corresponding to the to the singular points of $C$ ) and its dimension is $h^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right)(c f$. e.g. [B-P-V]).

Mumford and Mayer (cf. [Mu-1], [Me], [A-I-K] and [A-K]) in the irreducible case proposed a natural compactification of the Picard scheme Pic ${ }^{d}$, consisting
of torsion free coherent sheaf of rank 1 with Euler characteristic $=d-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)$. It is usually denoted by $\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{d}(C)$.

Notice that even in the irreducible case the closure of $\operatorname{Pic}{ }^{\mathbf{d}}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{d}(C)$ may be different from $\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{d}(C)$ (this implies in particular that $\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{d}(C)$ is reducible). However, as pointed out by Altman and Kleiman in the paper [A-K] if $C$ is irreducible and it is contained in a smooth surface then $\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{d}(C)$ is irreducible.
$\operatorname{Hilb}^{\delta}(C)$ will denote the Hilbert scheme of clusters on $C$ of degree $\delta$. Notice that if $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{s}$, then

$$
\operatorname{CaDiv}^{\mathbf{d}}(C)=\left\{\text { Cartier divisors of multidegree } \mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)\right\}
$$

is an open subset of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{\sum d_{i}}(C)$ (cf. e.g. [Ko], $\S 1$ ).
We remark that if $C$ is contained in a smooth algebraic surface $S$ then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{\delta}(C)\right)=\delta$.

This can be proved as follow (cf. Rego [Re], Iarrobino [Ia]).
For all $x \in C$ we define $\operatorname{Hilb}_{x}^{n}(C)=\{\zeta \subset C \mid \operatorname{deg}(\zeta)=n, \operatorname{supp}(\zeta)=x\}$.
Now, $\operatorname{Hilb}_{x}^{n}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{Hilb}_{x}^{n}(S)=\{\zeta \subset S \mid \operatorname{deg}(\zeta)=n$, $\operatorname{supp}(\zeta)=x\}$, and it is easy to prove that $n-1 \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{x}^{n}(C)\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{x}^{n}(S)\right)=n-1$ (cf. [Re] p.221). If we define

$$
\mathbb{N}^{h}(\delta)=\left\{\underline{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{h}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{h} \mid n_{1} \leq \ldots \leq n_{h}, \sum n_{i}=\delta\right\}
$$

and for $\underline{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{h}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{h}(\delta)$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hilb}_{\underline{n}}^{\delta}(C):= & \left\{\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{h}\right) \mid \zeta_{i}\right. \text { is a cluster s.t. } \\
& \left.\operatorname{supp}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)=P_{i} \in C, \operatorname{deg}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)=n_{i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}^{\delta}(C)=\bigcup_{h=1}^{\delta}\left\{\bigcup_{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{h}(\delta)} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\underline{n}}^{\delta}(C)\right\}
$$

To conclude the proof it is sufficient to remark that for every $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{h}(\delta)$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{P_{i}}^{n_{i}}(C)\right)=n_{i}-1$ implies $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{\underline{n}}^{\delta}(C)\right)=\delta$.

We remark as pointed out by Altman and Kleiman ([A-K]), that if there exists a point $x$ such that $\operatorname{dim} T_{x, C} \geq 3$ then we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{x}^{n}(C)\right) \geq n$.

## 2 "Generic divisors" in $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{d}}$ on reduced curves

In this section we will prove theorem A and B.
Proof of theorem A.
By Serre duality, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $C$ then $H^{1}(C, \mathcal{F}) \underline{\mathrm{d}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{C}\right)$.

Assume $H^{1}(C, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. Then there exists a non-zero morphism of sheaves $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \omega_{C}$. We will prove the thesis by an induction argument on the number of components of $C$.

If $C$ is reduced and irreducible then $H^{1}(C, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \omega_{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\Delta$ is a cluster of length $\delta \leq p_{a}(C)-2$.
This means that there is a morphism


Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{\delta}(C)\right)=\delta$ because $C$ is contained in a smooth surface and $\delta \leq p_{a}(C)-2$, while $\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{d}(C)\right)=p_{a}(C)$ then we conclude that the subset $\mathrm{W}=\left\{[\mathcal{F}] \mid \mathcal{F}\right.$ of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$ and $\left.h^{1}(C, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0\right\} \subseteq \Psi_{1}\left(\mathrm{CaDiv}^{\delta}(C)\right)$ has dimension $\leq \delta<\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)$.

Now let $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{s}$ and let $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \omega_{C}, \varphi \neq 0$, our morphism of sheaves. We claim, by induction hypotheses, that for $\mathcal{F}$ generic every nonzero morphism $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \omega_{C}$ is generically onto.

Indeed, if $\varphi$ was not generically onto, by automatic adjunction, it would factor as $\varphi^{\prime}: \mathcal{F}_{\mid B} \hookrightarrow \omega_{B}$; but by induction we may assume that for $\mathcal{F}$ generic $\varphi^{\prime} \equiv 0$, that is $\varphi \equiv 0$.

But now we can proceed as in the irreducible case. $\operatorname{coker}(\varphi)$ defines a cluster of $\operatorname{deg}=\delta \leq p_{a}(C)-2$, which is a Cartier divisor.

This means that we have a morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi: \operatorname{CaDiv}^{\delta}(C) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}(C) \\
\Delta & \mapsto \omega_{C} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $[\mathcal{F}] \in \operatorname{im}(\Psi)$.
Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{CaDiv}^{\delta}(C)\right)=\delta$ because $C$ is contained in a smooth surface and $\delta \leq p_{a}(C)-2$, while $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)\right)=h^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right) \geq p_{a}(C)$ we obtain the thesis. Q.E.D. for thm. A

## Proof of theorem B.

The proof works essentially as in the previous theorem. We restrict ourselves to consider the open, dense, subset

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}}(C):= & \left\{[\mathcal{F}] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}} \mid \text { there exists a } \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in|\mathcal{F}|\right. \\
& \text { effective Cartier divisor with support on } \\
& \left.C \backslash C_{\text {sing }} \text { and multidegree }=\mathbf{d}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By our restriction, if $x \in C$ is singular, then it is not a base point for $\mathcal{F}$. Thus we need only to consider the case where $x \in C$ is smooth.

As in the above lemma $|\mathcal{F}|$ is not base point free if and only if there exists a point $x$ on $C$ and there exists a non-zero morphism of sheaves $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x} \rightarrow \omega_{C}$.

If $C$ is reduced and irreducible then we obtain an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \omega_{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\Delta$ is a cluster of length $\delta \leq p_{a}(C)-2$.
This means that there exists a morphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Psi_{1}: \operatorname{Hilb}^{\delta}(C) \times C & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{d}(C) \\
\bigcup & & \bigcup \\
\operatorname{CaDiv}^{\delta}(C) \times\left\{C \backslash C_{\text {sing }}\right\} & \longrightarrow & \frac{\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}(C)}{\mathcal{I}_{\Delta} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{x}^{-1}}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, for $\mathcal{F}$ such that $[\mathcal{F}] \in \underline{\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}(C)},|\mathcal{F}|$ has some base point if and only if $[\mathcal{F}] \in \Psi_{1}\left(\operatorname{CaDiv}^{\delta}(C) \times\left\{C \backslash C_{\text {sing }}\right\}\right)$.

Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\Psi_{1}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{\delta}(C)\right) \times C=\delta+1 \leq p_{a}(C)-1$, while $\operatorname{dim}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}}(C)\right)=p_{a}(C)$ we conclude that for $[\mathcal{F}]$ generic in $\left.\underline{\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}}(C)\right),|\mathcal{F}|$ is base point free.

If $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{s}$ we proceed as in the above theorem, applying lemma 2.1.
Q.E.D. for thm. B

## 3 Divisors normally generated on reduced curves

In this section we will prove theorem C.
The proof of theorem C, as in the classical case, is essentially an application of a lemma of Castelnuovo on base point free systems

Proposition 3.1 (Generalized lemma of Castelnuovo) Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be invertible sheaves on $C$ such that

1. $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-1}\right)=0$;
2. $|\mathcal{F}|$ is a base point free system on $C$.

Then the multiplication map

$$
H^{0}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes H^{0}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F})
$$

is surjective.
For the proof we refer to [Mu-2], thm. 2 or [F], lemma 2.1.
The fundamental step in the proof of Theorem C is then to find a base point free system on $C$ satisfying the above conditions.

We will find such invertible sheaf by an inductive argument.
Observe that the condition $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid C} \geq\left(2 p_{a}(C)+1\right)$ is equivalent to the inequality $\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{C}-\mathcal{H}\right)_{\mid C} \leq-1$, whence there exists an irreducible $\Gamma_{1} \subset C$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{C}-\mathcal{H}\right)_{\mid \Gamma_{1}}<0,\left(\right.$ that is $\left.\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid \Gamma_{1}} \geq 2 p_{a}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)+\Gamma_{1} \cdot\left(C-\Gamma_{1}\right)-1\right)$.

Following the paper [Ca-Fr] we define such a $\Gamma_{1}$ to be $\mathcal{H}$-positive and we denote by $C_{2}$ the curve $C-\Gamma_{1}$.

Furthermore either $\Gamma_{1}$ is unique and then $\mathcal{H} \cdot \Gamma_{1} \geq 2 p_{a}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)+\Gamma_{1} \cdot C_{2}+1$ or there exists at least one other irreducible $\mathcal{H}$-positive curve.

Such a curve $\Gamma_{1}$ will yield an important role in the next proposition:
Lemma 3.2 Let $C$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be as in theorem $A$ and let $\Gamma_{1}$ be an irreducible $\mathcal{H}$-positive subcurve of $C$. Then the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{1}}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C_{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact on global sections, that is $|\mathcal{H}|_{\mid C_{2}}=\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mid C_{2}}\right|$.
Proof. $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C_{2}}$ defines on $\Gamma_{1}$ a cluster of length $C_{1} . C_{2}$.
Since $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid \Gamma_{1}} \geq 2 p_{a}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)+\Gamma_{1} .\left(C-\Gamma_{1}\right)-1$ by thm.1. 1 of [CFHR] we get $H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{1}}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C_{2}}\right)=0$.

Proposition 3.3 Let $C$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be as in theorem $C$. Then there exists an invertible subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that

1. $\operatorname{deg}_{B} \mathcal{F} \geq p_{a}(B)+1$ for all $B$ subcurve of $C$.
2. $H^{1}(C, \mathcal{F})=0$.
3. $|\mathcal{F}|$ is a base point free system on $C$.
4. $H^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-1}\right)=0$.

Proof of proposition 3.3. We will prove the proposition by induction on the number of components of $C$.
If $C$ is irreducible then we simply consider a $\mathcal{F}$ generic of degree $p_{a}(C)+1$. Then By theorem A and theorem $\mathrm{B}|\mathcal{F}|$ is a base point free system and $H^{1}(C, \mathcal{H} \otimes$ $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ ) $=0$.

Let $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{s}$ be a decomposition of $C$ such that $\Gamma_{h}$ is $H-$ positive with respect to the curve $C_{h}=\cup_{i=h}^{s} \Gamma_{i}$.

For $h=1, \ldots, s-1$, let $m_{h}:=\Gamma_{h} . C_{h+1}$
Take $\mathcal{F}$ generic such that $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{F}_{\mid \Gamma_{h}}=\max \left\{p_{a}\left(\Gamma_{h}\right)+m_{h}-1, p_{a}\left(\Gamma_{h}\right)+1\right\}$.
Since $C$ is reduced (which implies $\Gamma_{h} . C_{h+1} \geq \Gamma_{h} . B$ for all $B \subset C_{h+1}$ ) we immediately obtain $\operatorname{deg}_{B} \mathcal{F} \geq p_{a}(B)+1$ for all $B$ subcurve of $C_{h}$ and then, by theorem A and B , for $\mathcal{F}$ generic $H^{1}(C, \mathcal{F})=0$ and $|\mathcal{F}|$ is a base point free system on $C$.

Notice that by our conditions on $C$ we have $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{F}_{\mid C_{h}} \leq h^{0}\left(C_{h}, \mathcal{H}\right)$.
It remains to show that $H^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-1}\right)=0$.
If $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C}(\Sigma)$, where $\Sigma$ is a cluster of $\sigma$ smooth points $\left(\sigma \leq h^{0}(C, \mathcal{H})\right.$ ), then it is sufficient to prove that the map $H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\right)$ is onto.

For this let $C=\Gamma_{1}+C_{2}$, with $\Gamma_{1}$ irreducible $H$ - positive such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{\mid \Gamma_{1}}=\Sigma_{1} & \text { consists of } p_{1}+m-1 \text { smooth general points on } \Gamma_{1} \\
\Sigma_{\mid C_{2}}=\Sigma_{2} & \text { consists of } \sigma_{2} \text { smooth general points on } C_{2} \text { with } \\
p_{2}+1 \leq \sigma_{2} \leq d_{2}-p_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We are done if we show that the points of $\Sigma$ should be taken in such a way that they impose independent conditions on $|\mathcal{H}|$.

By induction we may assume $H^{0}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{H}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{2}}\right)$.
If we consider the embedding $\varphi_{|\mathcal{H}|}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$, where $N=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid C}-p_{a} C$ and we identify $C$ and its subcurve with their images in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ it is enough to prove that the points of $\Sigma$ may be taken projectively independent.

To simplify the computations we let $N_{1}=h^{0}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \mathcal{H}\right)-1 ; N_{2}=h^{0}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{H}\right)-1$; $l=h^{1}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{1}}\right) ; \Gamma_{1} . C_{2}=m$. Thus we have
$\Gamma_{1} \subset V_{1} \quad$ where $V_{1}$ is a linear subspace of dimension $=N_{1}-l$
$C_{2} \subset V_{2}$ where $V_{2}$ is a linear subspace of dimension $=N_{2}$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)=(m-l-1)$

The last equality follows from the exact sequence

$$
H^{0}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{H}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{1}}\right)
$$

Since we can choose $\sigma_{2} \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{2}\right)$ by induction and by our choice $p_{1}+m-1+$ $\sigma_{2} \leq N$ it is enough to prove that $p_{1}+m-1 \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{1}\right)$ (since we may assume $\left.\left\langle\Sigma_{1}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\Sigma_{2}\right\rangle=\emptyset.\right)$

Now

$$
p_{1}+m-1 \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{1}\right) \Longleftrightarrow d_{1}-2 p_{1} \geq m+l-1
$$

We will show this inequality using the fact that $\mathcal{H} . B \geq 2 p_{a}(B)+1 \forall B \subseteq C$.
If $C_{2}$ is irreducible we are done because $\mathcal{H}$ is $\left(d_{2}-2 p_{2}\right)$-very ample on $C_{2}$ and $d_{2}>\max \left\{2 p_{2}, 2 p_{1}+2 p_{2}+2 m-d_{1}\right\}$, that is, $l \leq \max \left\{m-d_{2}-2 p_{2}, 0\right\} \leq$ $d_{1}-2 p_{1}-m$.

If $C_{2}$ is reducible, let us consider a decomposition $C_{2}=A_{2} \cup B_{2}$ with $A_{2}$ irreducible s.t. $h^{1}\left(A_{2}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A_{2}}\left(-B_{2}\right)\right)=0$.

By the following exact sequence

$$
H^{1}\left(A_{2}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A_{2}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{B_{2} \cup \Gamma_{1}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{1}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(B_{2}, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B_{2}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{1}}\right)
$$

since by induction

$$
h^{1}\left(B_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{B_{2}}\left(\mathcal{H}-\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)<B_{2} \cdot \Gamma_{1}-\left(H . B_{2}-2 p_{a}\left(B_{2}\right)\right)
$$

and by the k-very-ampleness of $\mathcal{H}$ on $A_{2}$

$$
h^{1}\left(A_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{A_{2}}\left(\mathcal{H}-B_{2}-\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)<\Gamma_{1} \cdot A_{2}-\left(H \cdot A_{2}-A_{2} \cdot B_{2}-2 p_{a}\left(A_{2}\right)\right)
$$

we argue that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l \leq \Gamma_{1} \cdot\left(A_{2}+B_{2}\right)-H \cdot\left(A_{2}+B_{2}\right)+\left(2 p_{a}\left(A_{2}\right)+2 p_{a}\left(B_{2}\right)+A_{2} \cdot B_{2}\right)-2 \leq \\
& \leq \Gamma_{1} \cdot C_{2}-\left(H \cdot C_{2}-2 p_{a}\left(C_{2}\right)\right)=d_{1}-2 p_{1}-m .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Q.E.D. for proposition 3.3

Notice that in the above proposition no connectedness hypotheses are required

## Proof of Theorem C.

For $n=0,1$ it is obvious since $C 1$-connected implies $h^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right)=1$ (cf. [CFHR], thm. 3.3 for the general case) and we are considering the complete linear system $|\mathcal{H}|$.

To show that $H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H}) \otimes H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ we apply the generalized lemma of Castelnuovo. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be as in proposition 3.3. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^{0}(C, \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H}) & \hookrightarrow & H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H}) \otimes H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H}) & \rightarrow & H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \otimes \mathcal{H}\right) \otimes H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \\
H^{0}(C, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H}) & \hookrightarrow & H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}\right) & \rightarrow & H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

By theorem 1.1 of [CFHR], $\mathcal{H}$ is very ample on $C$ (in particular it is base point free).
$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \otimes \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ is a skyscraper sheaf of finite length.
We can pick a section $s \in H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H})$ such that for all $x \in \operatorname{Supp}(\Delta) s(x) \neq 0$. Then

$$
H^{0}(\Delta \otimes \mathcal{H}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\langle s\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(\Delta \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}\right),
$$

that is, the third map is onto. Now, the first map $H^{0}(C, \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^{0}(C, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow$ $H^{0}(C, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ is surjective by lemma 3.1 and proposition 3.3 , that is the required map is onto.

For $n \geq 3$ we use induction applying the generalized lemma of Castelnuovo to the sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n-1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ since, by lemma 2.1 of [Ca-Fr], if $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{H}_{\mid B} \geq$ $2 p_{a}(B)-1$ for all subcurve $B \subseteq C$ then $H^{1}(C, \mathcal{H})=0$.
Q.E.D. for Theorem C
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