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#### Abstract

We investigate a necessary condition for a compact complex manifold $X$ of dimension $n$ in order that its universal cover be the Cartesian product $C^{n}$ of a curve $C=\mathbb{P}^{1}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ : the existence of a semispecial tensor $\omega$.

A semispecial tensor is a non zero section $0 \neq \omega \in H^{0}\left(X, S^{n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right) \otimes\right.$ $\eta$ )), where $\eta$ is an invertible sheaf of 2-torsion (i.e., $\eta^{2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$ ). We show that this condition works out nicely, as a sufficient condition, when coupled with some other simple hypothesis, in the case of dimension $n=2$ or $n=3$; but it is not sufficient alone, even in dimension 2.

In the case of Kähler surfaces we use the above results in order to give a characterization of the surfaces whose universal cover is a product of two curves, distinguishing the 6 possible cases.


## 1. Introduction

The beauty of the theory of algebraic curves is deeply related to the manifold implications of the:

Theorem 1.1 (Uniformization theorem of Koebe and Poincaré). Let $C$ be a smooth (connected) compact complex curve of genus $g$, and let $\tilde{C}$ be its universal cover. Then

$$
\tilde{C} \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{P}^{1} & \text { if } g=0 \\ \mathbb{C} & \text { if } g=1 \\ \mathbb{H} & \text { if } g \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

[^0]( $\mathbb{H}$ denotes as usual the Poincaré upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}=\{\tau \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0\}$, but we shall often refer to it as the 'disk' since it is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}$ : $\|z\|<1\}$ ).

Hence a smooth (connected) compact complex curve $C$ of genus $g \geq 1$ admits a uniformization in the strong sense (ii) of the following definition (for $g=0$, only (i) holds):

Definition 1.2. A connected complex space $X$ of complex dimension $n$ admits a Galois uniformization if :
(i) there is a connected open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a properly discontinuous group $\Gamma \subset A u t(\Omega)$ such that $\Omega / \Gamma \cong X$
If $X$ is a complex manifold, there is the stronger property where we require the action of $\Gamma$ to be free:
(ii) there is a connected open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ biholomorphic to the universal cover of $X$ (strong uniformization).
Observe that a result of Fornaess and Stout (cf. [F-S77]) says that, if $X$ is an $n$-dimensional complex manifold, then there is a connected open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a surjective holomorphic submersion $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$; i.e., every complex manifold admits an 'étale (but not Galois) uniformization'.

On the contrary, the condition that the universal cover be biholomorphic to a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ tends to be quite exceptional in dimension $n \geq 2$, where plenty of simply connected manifolds exist.

An important remark is that if $\Omega$ is bounded and $\Gamma$ acts freely on $\Omega$ with compact quotient, then the complex manifold $X:=\Omega / \Gamma$ has ample canonical bundle $K_{X}$ (see [ $\left.\mathbf{S i e g} \mathbf{7 3}\right]$ ): in particular it is a projective manifold of general type.

Even more exceptional is the case where the universal cover is biholomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain $\Omega$, or where there is a Galois uniformization with source a bounded symmetric domain, and there is already a vast literature on a characterization of these properties (cf. [Yau77], [Yau88], [Yau93], [Bea00]). The basic result in this direction is S.T. Yau's uniformization theorem (explained in [Yau88] and [Yau93]), and for which a very readable exposition is contained in the first section of $[\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{Z 0 5}]$, emphasizing the role of polystability of the cotangent bundle for varieties of general type. One would wish nevertheless for more precise or simple characterizations of the various possible cases.

The paper [B-P-T06], which extends work of Yau and Beauville, especially [Bea00], gives a nice sufficient condition in order that the universal cover of a compact Kähler manifold $X$ be biholomorphic to a product of curves. If the tangent bundle $T_{X}$ splits as a sum of line subbundles, $T_{X}=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n}$, then its universal cover $\tilde{X}$ is biholomorphic to a product of curves:

$$
\tilde{X} \cong\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}
$$

for suitable $r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$.
The above result is not a characterization, in the sense that the splitting condition is not a necessary one, even if we weaken it to the condition that there is a finite étale covering $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ such that the tangent bundle of $X^{\prime}$ splits.

The purpose of this work is to investigate to which extent one can find a simple characterization of the above property in terms of some necessary and sufficient
conditions which a compact complex (respectively, Kähler) manifold $X$ must fulfill in order that its universal cover be biholomorphic to a product of curves.

If we require that the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ be biholomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n}$ or $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ we have the following necessary condition (the case of Kodaira surfaces, cf. [Bea00], shows that $\tilde{X} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n}$ without the Kähler assumption does not imply this condition):

Definition 1.3. Let $X$ be a complex manifold of complex dimension $n$.
Then a special tensor is a non zero section $0 \neq \omega \in H^{0}\left(X, S^{n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)$, while a semi special tensor is a non zero section $0 \neq \omega \in H^{0}\left(X, S^{n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right) \otimes \eta\right)$, where $\eta$ is an invertible sheaf such that $\eta^{2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$.

We shall say that the semi special tensor is of unique type if moreover it is $\operatorname{dim}\left(H^{0}\left(X, S^{n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right) \otimes \eta\right)\right)=1$.

We have in fact:
Proposition 1.4. Let $X$ be a compact complex manifold whose universal cover is biholomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n}$ or to $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ : then $X$ admits a semi special tensor.

As we shall see considering the two dimensional case, the existence of a semispecial tensor is not sufficient in order to guarantee a totally split universal cover, and one has to look for further complementary assumptions, one such can be for instance the condition of ampleness of the canonical divisor $K_{X}$.

Let us discuss first the case of a smooth compact complex surface.
Here, a famous uniformization result is the characterization, due to Miyaoka and Yau, of complex surfaces whose universal cover is the two dimensional ball $\mathbb{B}_{2}$. It is given purely in terms of certain numbers which are either bimeromorphic or topological invariants.

Theorem 1.5 (Miyaoka-Yau). Let $X$ be a compact complex surface. Then $X \cong \mathbb{B}_{2} / \Gamma$ (with $\Gamma$ a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{B}_{2}\right)$ acting freely on $\mathbb{B}_{2}$ ) if and only if
(1) $K_{X}^{2}=9 \chi(S)>0$;
(2) the second plurigenus $P_{2}(X)>0$.

The theorem follows combining Miyaoka's result ([Miy82]), that these two conditions imply the ampleness of $K_{X}$, with Yau's uniformization result ([Yau77]) which proves the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric.

In the case where $X=(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}) / \Gamma$, with $\Gamma$ a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})$ acting freely, one has $K_{X}^{2}=8 \chi(X)$.

But Moishezon and Teicher in [MT87] showed the existence of a simply connected surface of general type (hence with $P_{2}(X)>0$ ) having $K_{X}^{2}=8 \chi(X)$, so that the above conditions are necessary, but not sufficient. Our contribution here is a by-product of our attempt to answer the still open question whether there exists a minimal surface of general type with $p_{g}(X)=0, K_{X}^{2}=8$ which is not uniformized by $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ (one has the same question for $\chi(X)=1, K_{X}^{2}=8$ ).

The first result of this note is a precise characterization of compact complex surfaces whose universal cover is the bidisk, respectively the quadric $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, discussing whether some hypotheses can be dispensed with. We have the following result giving a refinement of a theorem of S.T. Yau (theorem 2.5 of [Yau93]), giving sufficient conditions for (ii) to hold.

Theorem 1.6. Let $X$ be a compact complex surface.
$X$ is strongly uniformized by the bidisk $(X \cong(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}) / \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})$ acting freely ) if and only if
$\left(1^{*}\right) X$ admits a semi special tensor of unique type;
(2) $K_{X}^{2}>0$;
(3) the second plurigenus $P_{2}(X) \geq 1$.
$X$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ if and only if
$\left(1^{* *}\right) X$ admits a unique special tensor;
(2) $K_{X}^{2}=8$;
$\left(3^{* *}\right)$ the second plurigenus $P_{2}(X)=0$;
(4) $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)=6$

In the above theorem one can replace condition (3) by :
$\left(3^{*}\right) P_{2}(X) \geq 2$,
it is moreover interesting to see that none of the above hypotheses can be dispensed with. The most intriguing examples are provided by

Proposition 1.7. There do exist properly elliptic surfaces $X$ satisfying

- (1) $X$ admits a special tensor;
- $\left(3^{*}\right)$ the second plurigenus $P_{2}(X) \geq 2$;
- $q(X):=\operatorname{dim}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right)>0$;
- $K_{X}^{2}=0$;
- $X$ is not birational to a product.

In this respect, we would like to pose the following question, which will be discussed in a later section.

Question. Let $X$ be a surface with $q(X)=0$ and satisfying $\left(1^{*}\right)$ and $\left(3^{*}\right)$ : is then $X$ strongly uniformized by the bidisk?

Our final result concerning algebraic surfaces whose universal cover is a product of two curves follows combining the previous Theorem 1.6 with the following

Theorem 1.8. Let $S$ be a smooth compact Kähler surface $S$. Then the universal cover of $S$ is biholomorphic to
(1) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C} \Leftrightarrow P_{12}:=P_{12}(S)=0, q:=q(S)=1, K_{S}^{2}=0$.
(2) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{H} \Leftrightarrow P_{12}=0, q \geq 2, K_{S}^{2}=8(1-q)$.
(3) $\mathbb{C}^{2} \Leftrightarrow P_{12}=1, q=1$ or $q=2, K_{S}^{2}=0$.
(4) $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \Leftrightarrow P_{12} \geq 2, e(S)=0$.

Concerning the higher dimensional cases, we restrict our attention here to the case of manifolds with ample canonical divisor $K_{X}$ which, by Yau's theorem ([Yau77]) admit a canonical Kähler-Einstein metric.

Assume now that $X$ admits a semi special tensor $\omega \in H^{0}\left(X, S^{n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right) \otimes \eta\right)$. Then by [Yau88, p.272] and by [Yau93, p.479] (see also [V-Z05, p.300]) $\omega$ induces on the tangent bundle $T_{X}$ a homogeneous hypersurface $F_{X}$ of relative degree $n$ which is parallel with respect to the Kähler-Einstein metric.

In particular, take a point $x \in X$, and consider the hypersurface of the projectivized tangent bundle induced by $F_{X}$ : its fibre over $x$ is a projective hypersurface $F_{X, x}$ of degree $n$ which is invariant for the action of the (restricted) holonomy group $H \subset U(n)(H$ is the connected component of the identity in the holonomy group).

In this situation, assume that we can prove (possibly passing to a finite étale covering of $X$ ) that the holonomy leaves invariant a complete flag. Then, since the
holonomy is unitary, it follows that $H \subset U(1)^{n}$ and we can conclude, either by Berger's classical theorem ([Ber53]), or by [B-P-T06], that the universal cover of $X$ turns out to be $\mathbb{H}^{n}$.

In the three dimensional case the existence of a special tensor is enough in order to guarantee such a splitting.

Theorem 1.9. Let $X$ be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \leq 3$. Then the following two conditions:
(1) $X$ admits a semi special tensor;
(2*) $K_{X}$ is ample
hold if and only if $X \cong\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right) / \Gamma$ (where $\Gamma$ is a cocompact discrete subgroup of Aut $\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ acting freely $)$.

In dimension $\geq 4$, the above conditions are no longer sufficient. The natural category which is relevant to consider is the category of Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type, since by the theorem of Berger-Simons an irreducible (in the sense of De Rham's theorem) Kähler manifold $X$ of dimension $n$ with ample canonical divisor $K_{X}$ has holonomy $H \neq U(n)$ if and only if $X$ is a Hermitian symmetric space of rank $\geq 2$ (see [Yau88], and [V-Z05, section 1, page 300]).

One has the Cartan realization of a Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type as a bounded symmetric domain, and by the classical result of Borel on compact Clifford-Klein forms (see [Bor63]) any bounded symmetric domain $X$ of dimension $n$ admits a compact complex analytic Clifford-Klein form, that is a compact complex manifold $X^{\prime}$ whose universal covering is isomorphic to $X$.

The above results translate the question whether a compact complex manifold $X$ admitting a semi special tensor and with ample canonical divisor $K_{X}$ has the polydisk as universal cover into a purely Lie theoretic problem, the problem of existence of holonomy invariant hypersurfaces of degree $n$.

We leave aside for the moment this more general investigation, for which some partial results are contained in the appendix, due to A.J. Di Scala, who answered some of our questions.

For the bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{4} \cong \operatorname{Mat}(2,2, \mathbb{C}):=M_{2,2}(\mathbb{C}), \Omega=\{Z \in$ $\left.M_{2,2}(\mathbb{C}): \mathrm{I}_{2}-{ }^{t} Z \cdot \bar{Z}>0\right\}$, the Cartan realization of the Hermitian symmetric space $S U(2,2) / S(U(2) \times U(2))$, Di Scala pointed out that the holonomy action of $(A, D) \in S\left(U(2) \times U(2)\right.$ is given by $Z \mapsto A Z D^{-1}$. Hence the square of the determinant yields an invariant hypersurface of degree 4 which is twice a smooth quadric (and this is indeed the only other possible case).

Using this simple but important observation, we get the following
Theorem 1.10. There exist compact Kähler manifolds $X$, for each dimension $n \geq 4$, such that
(1) $X$ admits a special tensor;
(2*) $K_{X}$ is ample
and whose universal cover $\tilde{X}$ is not $\cong \mathbb{H}^{n}$ (i.e., is not a product of curves).

## 2. Preliminaries and remarks

2.1. Notation. $X$ denotes throughout the paper a smooth compact complex manifold of dimension $n$.

We use the standard notation of algebraic geometry: $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is the cotangent bundle (locally free sheaf), $T_{X}$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle, $c_{1}(X), c_{2}(X)$ are the Chern classes of $X . K_{X}$ is a canonical divisor on $X$, i.e., $\Omega_{X}^{n}=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)$ and the $m$-th plurigenus is defined as $P_{m}(X):=h^{0}\left(X, m K_{X}\right)$.

In particular, for $m=1$, we have the geometric genus of $X p_{g}(X):=h^{0}\left(X, K_{X}\right)$, while $q(X):=h^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is classically called the irregularity of $X$.

Finally, $\chi(X):=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is the holomorphic Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $X$, whereas $e(X)$ denotes the topological Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $X$.

In the surface case $(n=2), \chi(X)=1+p_{g}(X)-q(X)$.
With a slight abuse of notation, we do not distinguish between invertible sheaves, line bundles and divisors, while the symbol $\equiv$ denotes linear equivalence of divisors.

### 2.2. Necessary conditions.

First of all notice that the existence of a semi special tensor corresponds to the existence of a special tensor on an étale double cover of our manifold:

Remark 2.1. A complex manifold $X$ admits a semi special tensor if and only if it has an unramified cover $X^{\prime}$ of degree at most two which admits a special tensor.

Proof. Assume that we have an invertible sheaf $\eta$ such that $\eta^{2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}, \eta \not \approx$ $\mathcal{O}_{X}$. Take the corresponding double connected étale covering $\pi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X} \oplus \eta$ and observe that

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, S^{n} \Omega_{X^{\prime}}^{1}\left(-K_{X^{\prime}}\right)\right) \cong H^{0}\left(X, S^{n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(X, S^{n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right) \otimes \eta\right)
$$

Whence, there is a special tensor on $X^{\prime}$ if and only if there is a semi special tensor on $X$.

Let us now show that if $X$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{m} / \Gamma$ or $(\mathbb{H})^{m} / \Gamma$ then $X$ admits a semi special tensor.

Proof of Prop. 1.4. Let us remark first that for a simply connected curve $C$, with $C \cong \mathbb{P}_{1}$, or $C \cong \mathbb{H}$, and any integer $m$, the group of automorphism of $C^{m}$, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{m}\right)$, is the semidirect product of $(\operatorname{Aut}(C))^{m}$ with the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{m}$, hence for every subgroup $\Gamma_{C}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{n}\right)$ we have a diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
1 \rightarrow & \bigcup_{(\operatorname{Aut}(C))^{m}} & \rightarrow & \operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{m}\right) & \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{m} & \rightarrow 1 \\
1 \rightarrow & \bigcup_{\Gamma_{C}^{0}} & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_{C} & \rightarrow H_{C} & \rightarrow 1
\end{array}
$$

Let now $X \cong\left(C^{n}\right) / \Gamma$ be a compact complex manifold whose universal cover $\tilde{X}$ is isomorphic to $C^{n}$. Then $X$ admits a semi special tensor, induced by the following special tensor:

$$
\tilde{\omega}:=\frac{\mathrm{d} z_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{~d} z_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{n}}
$$

where $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ is the standard system of coordinates on $C=\mathbb{H}^{n}$, respectively on the standard open set $\mathbb{C}^{n} \subset\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n}$ (observe that $\tilde{\omega}$ is in this case everywhere regular).
$\tilde{\omega}$ is clearly invariant for $(\operatorname{Aut}(C))^{n}$ and for the alternating subgroup $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$. Let $\eta$ be the 2 -torsion invertible sheaf on $X$ associated to the signature character of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ restricted to $H_{C}$ : then clearly $\tilde{\omega}$ descends to a semi special tensor $\omega \in H^{0}\left(X, S^{n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right) \otimes \eta\right)$.

In the more general case where the universal cover is a product of curves, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2. We have a homomorphism

$$
\Phi: \operatorname{Aut}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right)
$$

which is injective on any subgroup $\Gamma$ which acts freely. Moreover, if $\Gamma_{2} \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{s} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{H}^{t}\right)$ is the image of $\Gamma$ under $\Phi, \Gamma_{2}$ acts also freely, and $\Gamma_{2}$ acts properly discontinuosly if $\Gamma$ is properly discontinuos.

In particular, if $X \cong\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right) / \Gamma$, with $\Gamma$ a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right)$ which acts freely, then the natural projection

$$
\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right) / \Gamma \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right) / \Gamma_{2}
$$

inherits a $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r}$-bundle structure.
Before giving the proof let us point out the following:
Lemma 2.3. Let $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r}\right)$ be an automorphism. Then $\psi$ has a fixed point.

Proof. For $r=1$ this is well known, since there exists an eigenvector for each $A \in G L(2, \mathbb{C})$.

For $r \geq 2$ any automorphism $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r}\right)$ is of the form

$$
(\psi(x))_{i}=\psi_{i}\left(x_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

for a suitable permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots r\}$. Therefore a fixed point is a solution to the system of equations

$$
x_{i}=\psi_{i}\left(x_{\sigma(i)}\right)(i=1, \ldots r)
$$

Using the cycle decomposition of $\sigma$ we easily reduce to the case where $\sigma=(1,2, \ldots r)$ and it suffices to find a solution to $x_{1}=\psi_{1} \circ \ldots \psi_{r}\left(x_{1}\right)$.

Proof of Prop. 2.2. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right)$.
Let $\Phi_{2}$ be the composition $p_{2} \circ \phi$, where $p_{2}:\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}$ is the second projection.

Now, for every point $p \in \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}, \Phi_{2}$ is constant on $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times\{p\}$ since $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r}$ is compact. Hence $\phi$ induces $\phi_{2} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right)$.

Assume that $\phi$ acts freely, and that $\phi_{2}$ has a fixed point $p$. Then $\phi$ acts on $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times\{p\}$ and it has a fixed point there by the previous lemma: whence $\phi$ is the identity.

If the action of $\Gamma$ is properly discontinuous, then for any compact $K \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right)$, also $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times K$ is compact; hence the set $\Gamma_{2}(K, K)=\Gamma\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times K,\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times K\right)$ is finite. Therefore $\Gamma_{2}$ is also properly discontinuous.

Remark 2.4. We also have a homomorphism

$$
\Phi: \operatorname{Aut}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{H}^{t}\right)
$$

However, as shown by the case of Inoue surfaces, if $X \cong\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{r} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right) / \Gamma\right.$, where $\Gamma$ is a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{H}^{t}\right)$ which acts freely, then the
image group $\Gamma_{2} \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{H}^{t}\right)$ does not necessarily act properly discontinuously. One needs for this the assumption that $X$ be Kähler.

## 3. Surfaces whose universal cover is a product of curves

In the case of surfaces the existence of a special tensor, as we are now going to explain, is equivalent to the existence of a trace zero endomorphism of the tangent bundle: and if this endomorphism is not nilpotent, one obtains a splitting of the tangent bundle.

Let us recall a result of Beauville which characterizes compact complex surfaces whose universal cover is a product of two complex curves (cf. [Bea00, Thm. C]).

Theorem 3.1 (Beauville). Let $X$ be a compact complex surface. The tangent bundle $T_{X}$ splits as a direct sum of two line bundles if and only if either $X$ is a special Hopf surface or the universal covering space of $X$ is a product $U \times V$ of two complex curves and the group $\pi_{1}(X)$ acts diagonally on $U \times V$.

Given a direct sum decomposition of the cotangent bundle $\Omega_{X}^{1} \cong L_{1} \oplus L_{2}$, Beauville shows moreover that $\left(L_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(L_{2}\right)^{2}=0$ (cf. [Bea00, 4.1, 4.2]) hence

$$
K_{X} \equiv L_{1}+L_{2} \quad c_{1}(X)^{2}=2 \cdot\left(L_{1} \cdot L_{2}\right)=2 \cdot c_{2}(X), \text { i.e., } K_{X}^{2}=8 \chi(X)
$$

Let us now consider the bundle $\operatorname{End}\left(T_{X}\right)$ of endomorphisms of the tangent bundle. We can write $\operatorname{End}\left(T_{X}\right)=\Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes T_{X}$ and since from the nondegenerate bilinear map

$$
\Omega_{X}^{1} \times \Omega_{X}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{2} \cong K_{X}
$$

we get $T_{X}=\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)^{\vee} \cong \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)$, we have an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{End}\left(T_{X}\right) \cong \Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)
$$

Let us see how this isomorphism works in local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$. I.e., let us see how an element $\frac{\mathrm{d} z_{i} \otimes \mathrm{~d} z_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}}$ in $\Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)$ acts on a vector of the form $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{h}}$. We have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} z_{i} \otimes \mathrm{~d} z_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{h}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\mathrm{d} z_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}} & \text { if } h=i \\
0 & \text { if } h \neq i
\end{array}\right.
$$

In turn, $\frac{\mathrm{d} z_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}}$ evaluated on $\mathrm{d} z_{k}$ gives $\frac{\mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}}$.
Therefore a generic element $\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} \frac{\mathrm{~d} z_{i} \otimes \mathrm{~d} z_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}}$ corresponds to an endomorphism, which, with respect to the basis $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}\right\}$ is expressed by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a_{12} & -a_{22} \\
a_{11} & a_{21}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In particular for the symmetric tensors (i.e., $a_{12}=a_{21}$ ), respectively for the skewsymmetric tensors (i.e., $a_{12}=-a_{21}, a_{11}=a_{22}=0$ ) the following isomorphisms hold:

$$
S^{2}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)\left(-K_{X}\right) \cong\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a & -a_{22} \\
a_{11} & a
\end{array}\right)\right\} ; \quad \bigwedge^{2}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)\left(-K_{X}\right) \cong\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

We can summarize the above discussion in the following

Lemma 3.2. If $X$ is a complex surface there is a natural isomorphism between the sheaf $S^{2}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)\left(-K_{X}\right)$ and the sheaf of trace zero endomorphisms of the (co) tangent sheaf $\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(T_{X}\right) \cong \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)$.

A special tensor $\omega \in H^{0}\left(S^{2}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)$ with nonzero determinant $\operatorname{det}(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}$ yields an eigenbundle splitting $\Omega_{X}^{1} \cong L_{1} \bigoplus L_{2}$ of the cotangent bundle.

If instead $\operatorname{det}(\omega)=0 \in \mathbb{C}$, the corresponding endomorphism $\epsilon$ is nilpotent and yields an exact sequence of sheaves

$$
0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} L(-\Delta) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $L:=\operatorname{ker}(\epsilon)$ is invertible, $\Delta$ is an effective divisor, and $Z$ is a 0 -dimensional subscheme(which is a local complete intersection).

We have in particular $K_{X} \equiv 2 L-\Delta$ and $c_{2}(X)=\operatorname{length}(Z)+L \cdot(L-\Delta)$.
Proof. We need only to observe that $\operatorname{det}(\omega)$ is a constant, since $\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(T_{X}\right)\right)=$ $\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$.

If $\operatorname{det}(\omega) \neq 0$, there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\operatorname{det}(\omega)=c^{2}$, hence at every point of $X$ the endomorphism $\epsilon$ corresponding to the special tensor $\omega$ has two distinct eigenvalues $\pm c$.

Let $\omega \in H^{0}\left(S^{2} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right), \omega \neq 0$, be such that $\operatorname{det}(\omega)=0$. Then the corresponding endomorphism $\epsilon$ is nilpotent of order 2 , and there exists an open nonempty subset $U \subseteq X$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\epsilon_{\mid U}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\epsilon_{\mid U}\right)$. At a point $p$ where $\operatorname{rank}(\epsilon)=0$, in local coordinates the endomorphism $\epsilon$ may be expressed by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right) \quad a, b, c \text { regular functions such that } a^{2}=-b \cdot c
$$

Let $\delta:=$ G. C. D. $(a, b, c)$. After dividing by $\delta$, every prime factor of $a$ is either not in $b$, or not in $c$, thus we can write

$$
-b=\beta^{2} \quad c=\gamma^{2} \quad a=\beta \cdot \gamma
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\binom{u}{v} \in \operatorname{Ker} \epsilon \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \cdot u+b \cdot v=0 \\
c \cdot u-a \cdot v=0
\end{array} \Longleftrightarrow \gamma \cdot u-\beta \cdot v=0 \Longleftrightarrow\binom{u}{v}=\binom{\beta \cdot f}{\gamma \cdot f}\right.
$$

and, writing our endomorphism $\epsilon$ as $\epsilon=\delta \cdot \alpha$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta \cdot \gamma \cdot u-\beta^{2} \cdot v=\beta \cdot(\gamma \cdot u-\beta \cdot v) \\
\gamma^{2} \cdot u-\gamma \cdot \beta \cdot v=\gamma \cdot(\gamma \cdot u-\beta \cdot v)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $Z$ be the 0 -dimensional scheme defined by $\{\beta=\gamma=0\}$ and $\Delta$ be the Cartier divisor defined by $\{\delta=0\}$.

From the above description we deduce that the kernel of $\epsilon$ is a line bundle $L$ which fits in the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} L(-\Delta) \rightarrow 0
$$

Taking the total Chern classes we infer that: $K_{X} \equiv 2 L-\Delta$ as divisors on $X$ and $c_{2}(X)=\operatorname{length}(Z)+L \cdot(L-\Delta)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be a complex surface and let $X^{\prime}$ be the blow up of $X$ at a point $p$. Then a special tensor $\omega^{\prime}$ on $X^{\prime}$ induces a special tensor $\omega$ on $X$, and the converse only holds if and only if $\omega$ vanishes at $p$ (in particular, it must hold : $\operatorname{det}(\omega)=0)$.

Proof. First of all, $\omega^{\prime}$ induces a special tensor on $X \backslash\{p\}$, and by Hartogs' theorem the latter extends to a special tensor $\omega$ on $X$.

Conversely, choose local coordinates $(x, y)$ for $X$ around $p$ and take a local chart of the blow up with coordinates $(x, u)$ where $y=u x$.

Locally around $p$ we can write

$$
\omega=\frac{a(\mathrm{~d} x)^{2}+b(\mathrm{~d} y)^{2}+c(\mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y)}{\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y}
$$

The pull back $\omega^{\prime}$ of $\omega$ is given by the following expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a(\mathrm{~d} x)^{2}+b(u \mathrm{~d} x+x \mathrm{~d} u)^{2}+c(u \mathrm{~d} x+x \mathrm{~d} u) \mathrm{d} x}{x \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} u}= \\
= & \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{2}\left(a+b u^{2}+c u\right)+b x^{2} \mathrm{~d} u^{2}+(2 b u x+c x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} u}{x \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} u}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\omega^{\prime}$ is regular if and only if $\frac{a+b u^{2}+c u}{x}$ is a regular function.
This is obvious if $a, b, c$ vanish at $p$, since then their pull back is divisible by $x$. Assume on the other side that $a, b, c$ are constant: then we get a rational function which is only regular if $a=b=c=0$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $X$ be a compact minimal rational surface admitting a special tensor $\omega$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ or $X \cong \mathbb{F}_{n}, n \geq 2$. If moreover the special tensor is unique, then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ or $X \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Proof. Assume that $X$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ bundle over a curve $B \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, i.e., a ruled surface $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ with $n \geq 0$. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ the projection.

By the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \pi^{*} \Omega_{B}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \mid B}^{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

and since on a general fibre $F$ the subsheaf $\pi^{*} \Omega_{B}^{1}$ is trivial, while the quotient sheaf $\Omega_{X \mid B}^{1}$ is negative, we conclude that any endomorphism $\epsilon$ carries $\pi^{*} \Omega_{B}^{1}$ to itself. If it has non zero determinant we can conclude by Theorem 3.1 that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Otherwise, $\epsilon$ is nilpotent and we have a nonzero element in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{X \mid B}^{1}, \pi^{*} \Omega_{B}^{1}\right)$.

Since these are invertible sheaves, it suffices to see when

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(2 \pi^{*} K_{B}-K_{X}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

But, letting $\Sigma$ be the section with selfintersection $\Sigma^{2}=-n$, our vector space equals $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(2 \Sigma+(n-2) F)\right)$. Intersecting this divisor with $\Sigma$ we see that (since each time the intersection number with $\Sigma$ is negative) $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(2 \Sigma+(n-2) F)\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(\Sigma+(n-2) F)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(+(n-2) F)\right)$. This space has dimension $n-1$, whence our claim follows for the surfaces $\mathbb{F}_{n}$.

There remains the case where $X$ is $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
In this case $\epsilon$ must be a nilpotent endomorphism by Theorem 3.1, and it cannot vanish at any point by our previous result on $\mathbb{F}_{1}$. Therefore the rank of $\epsilon$ equals 1 at each point. By lemma 3.2 it follows that there is a divisor $L$ such that $K_{X}=2 L$, a contradiction.

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Thm. 1.6. If $X$ is strongly uniformized by the bidisk, then $K_{X}$ is ample, in particular $K_{X}^{2} \geq 1$ and, since by Castelnuovo's theorem $\chi(X) \geq 1$, by the vanishing theorem of Kodaira and Mumford it follows that $P_{2}(X) \geq 2$ (see [Bom73]).

Thus one direction follows from proposition 1.4, except that we shall show only later that ( $1^{*}$ ) holds.

Assume conversely that (1), (2) hold. Without loss of generality we may assume by lemma 3.3 that $X$ is minimal, since $K_{X}^{2}$ can only decrease via a blowup and the bigenus is a birational invariant.
$K_{X}^{2} \geq 1$ implies that either the surface $X$ is of general type, or it is a rational surface.

These two cases are distinguished by the respective properties (3) (obviously implied by $\left(3^{*}\right)$ ), guaranteeing that $X$ is of general type, and $\left(3^{* *}\right)$ ensuring that $X$ is rational.

Let us first assume that $X$ is of general type and, passing to an étale double cover if necessary, that $X$ admits a special tensor.

By the cited Theorem 3.1 of [Bea00] it suffices to find a decomposition of the cotangent bundle $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ as a direct sum of two line bundles $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$.

The two line bundles $L_{1}, L_{2}$ will be given as eigenbundles of a diagonizable endomorphism $\epsilon \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)$.

Our previous discussion shows then that it is sufficient to show that any special tensor cannot yield a nilpotent endomorphism.

Otherwise, by lemma 3.2, we can write $2 L \equiv K_{X}+\Delta$ and then deduce that $L$ is a big divisor since $\Delta$ is effective by construction and $K_{X}$ is big because $X$ is of general type. This assertion gives the required contradiction since by the Bogomolov-Castelnuovo-de Franchis Theorem (cf. [Bog77]) for an invertible subsheaf $L$ of $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ it is $h^{0}(X, m L) \leq O(m)$, contradicting the bigness of $L$.

There remains to show $\left(1^{*}\right)$. But if $h^{0}\left(X, S^{2} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right) \geq 2$ then, given a point $p \in X$, there is a special tensor which is not invertible in $p$, hence a special tensor with vanishing determinant, a contradiction.

If $X$ is a rational surface we use the hypothesis $K_{X}^{2}=8$, ensuring that $X$ is a surface $\mathbb{F}_{n}$; then, by lemma 3.4 we conclude that either $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ or $X \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}$. In the former case $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)=6$, in the latter case $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)=7$.

## 4. Elliptic surfaces with a special tensor not birational to a product of curves

In this section we are going to prove proposition 1.7.
We consider surfaces $X$ with bigenus $P_{2}(X) \geq 2$ (property $\left(3^{*}\right)$ ), therefore their Kodaira dimension equals 1 or 2 , hence either they are properly (canonically) elliptic, or they are of general type.

Since we took already care of the latter case in the main theorem 1.6 , we restrict our attention here to the former case, and try to see when does a properly elliptic surface admit a special tensor (we can reduce to this situation in view of remark 2.1). We can moreover assume that the associated endomorphism $\epsilon$ is nilpotent by theorem 3.1.

Again without loss of generality we may assume that $X$ is minimal by virtue of lemma 3.3.

Proof of Prop. 1.7. Let $X$ be a minimal properly elliptic surface and let $f: X \rightarrow B$ be its (multi)canonical elliptic fibration. Write any fibre $f^{-1}(p)$ as $F_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{h_{p}} m_{i} C_{i}$ and, setting $n_{p}:=G . C . D .\left(m_{i}\right), F_{p}=n_{p} F_{p}^{\prime}$, we say that a fibre is multiple if $n_{p}>1$. By Kodaira's classification $([\operatorname{Kod} \mathbf{6 0}])$ of the singular fibres we know that in this case $m_{i}=n_{p}, \forall i$.

Assume that the multiple fibres of the elliptic fibration are $n_{1} F_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{r} F_{r}^{\prime}$, and consider the divisorial part of the critical locus

$$
\mathcal{S}_{p}:=\sum_{i=1}^{h_{p}}\left(m_{i}-1\right) C_{i}, \quad \mathcal{S}:=\sum_{p \in B} \mathcal{S}_{p}
$$

so that we have then the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow f^{*} \Omega_{B}^{1}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}} \omega_{X \mid B} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is a 0 -dimensional (l.c.i.) subscheme.
For further calculations we separate the divisorial part of the critical locus as the sum of two disjoint effective divisors, the multiple fibre contribution and the rest:

$$
\mathcal{S}_{m}:=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-1\right) F_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{S}}:=\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}_{m}
$$

Let us assume that we have a nilpotent endomorphism corresponding to another exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} L(-\Delta) \rightarrow 0
$$

in turn determined by a homomorphism

$$
\epsilon^{\prime}: \mathcal{I}_{Z} L(-\Delta) \rightarrow L
$$

i.e., by a section

$$
\begin{gathered}
s \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(\Delta)\right)= \\
=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(2 L-K_{X}\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(S^{2}(L)\left(-K_{X}\right)\right) \subset H^{0}\left(S^{2}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe by the way that, if $L \neq L^{\prime}$, where we set $L^{\prime}:=f^{*} \Omega_{B}^{1}(\mathcal{S})$, we get a non trivial homomorphism $L^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} L(-\Delta)$, hence $L-\Delta \geq L^{\prime}$.

Since $2 L \equiv K_{X}+\Delta$, it follows that, if $F$ is a general fibre, then (use $K_{X} \cdot F=$ $\left.0=L^{\prime} \cdot F\right)$

$$
L \cdot F=\Delta \cdot F=0
$$

hence the effective divisor $\Delta$ is contained in a finite union of fibres.
The first candidate we try with is then the choice of $L=L^{\prime}=f^{*} \Omega_{B}^{1}(\mathcal{S})$.
To this purpose we recall Kodaira's canonical bundle formula:

$$
K_{X} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{m}+f^{*}(\delta)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-1\right) F_{i}^{\prime}+f^{*}(\delta), \operatorname{deg}(\delta)=\chi(X)-2+2 b
$$

where $b$ is the genus of the base curve $B$.
Then $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(2 L^{\prime}-K_{X}\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(f^{*}\left(2 K_{B}-\delta\right)+2 \mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}_{m}\right)\right.$, and we search for an effective divisor linearly equivalent to

$$
f^{*}\left(2 K_{B}-\delta\right)+2 \mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}_{m}=f^{*}\left(2 K_{B}-\delta\right)+2 \hat{\mathcal{S}}+\mathcal{S}_{m}
$$

We claim that $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(2 L^{\prime}-K_{X}\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(f^{*}\left(2 K_{B}-\delta\right)\right)\right.$ : it will then suffice to have examples where $\left|2 K_{B}-\delta\right| \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of the claim.
It suffices to show that $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(2 \hat{\mathcal{S}}+\mathcal{S}_{m}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{B}$. Since the divisor $2 \hat{\mathcal{S}}+\mathcal{S}_{m}$ is supported on the singular fibres, and it is effective, we have to show that, for each singular fibre $F_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{h_{p}} m_{i} C_{i}$, neither $2 \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{p} \geq F_{p}$ nor $\mathcal{S}_{m, p} \geq F_{p}$.

The latter case is obvious since $\mathcal{S}_{m, p}=\left(n_{p}-1\right) F_{p}^{\prime}<F_{p}=n_{p} F_{p}^{\prime}$.
In the former case, $2 \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{h_{p}} 2\left(m_{i}-1\right) C_{i}$, but it is not possible that $\forall i$ one has $2\left(m_{i}-1\right) \geq m_{i}$, since there is always an irreducible curve $C_{i}$ with multiplicity $m_{i}=1$.
Q.E.D.for the claim.

Assume that the elliptic fibration is not a product (in this case there is no special tensor with vanishing determinant): then the irregularity of $X$ equals the genus of $B$, whence our divisor on the curve $B$ has degree equal to $2 b-2-\left(1-b+p_{g}(X)\right)=$ $3 b-3-p_{g}$.

Since $\chi(X) \geq 1, p_{g}:=p_{g}(X) \geq b$, and there exist an elliptic surface $X$ with any $p_{g} \geq b$ ([Cat07]).

Since any divisor on $B$ of degree $\geq b$ is effective, it suffices to choose $b \leq p_{g} \leq$ $2 b-3$ and we get a special tensor with trivial determinant, provided that $b \geq 3$.

Take now a Jacobian elliptic surface in Weierstrass normal form

$$
Z Y^{2}-4 X^{3}-g_{2} X Z^{2}-g_{3} Z^{3}=0
$$

where $g_{2} \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}(4 M)\right), g_{3} \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}(6 M)\right)$, and assume that all the fibres are irreducible.

Then the space of special tensors corresponding to our choice of $L$ corresponds to the vector space $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}\left(2 K_{B}-\delta\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}\left(K_{B}-6 M\right)\right)$. It suffices now to take a hyperelliptic curve $B$ of genus $b=6 h+1$, and, denoting by $H$ the hyperelliptic divisor, set $M:=h H$, so that $K_{B}-6 M \equiv 0$ and we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(2 L-K_{X}\right)\right)=1$. We leave aside for the time being the question whether the surface $X$ admits a unique special tensor.

Already in the introduction, we posed the following
Question. Let $X$ be a surface with $q(X)=0$ and satisfying $\left(1^{*}\right)$ and $\left(3^{*}\right)$ : is then $X$ strongly uniformized by the bidisk?

Concerning the above question, recall the following
Definition 4.1. $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ is said to be reducible if there exists a subgroup of finite index $\Gamma^{0}<\Gamma$ such that $\gamma\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}\left(z_{1}\right), \ldots, \gamma_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right)$ for every $\left.\gamma \in \Gamma^{0}\right)$ and a decomposition $\mathbb{H}^{n}=\mathbb{H}^{k} \times \mathbb{H}^{h}($ with $h>0)$ such that the action of $\Gamma^{0}$ on $\mathbb{H}^{k}$ is properly discontinuous.

For $n=2$ there are only two alternatives:
REmARK 4.2. Let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{H}^{2}\right)$ be a discrete cocompact subgroup acting freely and let $X=\mathbb{H}^{2} / \Gamma$. Then

- $\Gamma$ is reducible if and only if $X$ is isogenous to a product of curves, i.e., there is a finite group $G$ and two curves of genera at least 2 such that $X \cong C_{1} \times C_{2} / G$. Both cases $q(X) \neq 0, q(X)=0$ can occur here.
- $\Gamma$ is irreducible: then $q(X)=0$ ( this result holds in all dimensions and is a well-known result of Matsushima [Ma62]).


## 5. Other surfaces whose universal cover is a product of curves

For the sake of completeness, using the Enriques classification of surfaces, we give here a characterization of the Kähler surfaces $S$ whose universal cover is a product of curves, other than $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ or $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$, which was treated in section 3 . We already mentioned in the introduction the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8 Let $S$ be a smooth compact Kähler surface $S$. Then the universal cover of $S$ is biholomorphic to
(1) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C} \Leftrightarrow P_{12}=0, q=1, K_{S}^{2}=0$.
(2) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{H} \Leftrightarrow P_{12}=0, q=g \geq 2, K_{S}^{2}=8(1-q)$.
(3) $\mathbb{C}^{2} \Leftrightarrow P_{12}=1, q=1$ or $q=2, K_{S}^{2}=0$.
(4) $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \Leftrightarrow P_{12} \geq 2, e(S)=0$.

Proof. We consider the several possible cases separately:

1) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C}$ : by proposition 2.2 these are the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ - bundles over an elliptic curve. They are characterized for instance by the properties $P_{12}=0$, which implies that the surface is ruled, $q=1$, which implies that it is ruled over an elliptic curve, and $K^{2}=0$, which implies that all the fibres are smooth, hence we have a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ bundle.
2) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}$ : these are the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundles over a curve $B$ of genus $g \geq 2$, hence characterized for instance by the properties $P_{12}=0, q=g \geq 2, K^{2}=8(1-q)$. The argument is here identical to the one given above.
3) $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ : these, by the celebrated theorem of Enriques-Severi and Bagnera- de Franchis, are the tori or the hyperelliptic surfaces, characterized (see for instance [Cat08, page 65]), by the properties: $P_{12}=1, q=1$ or $q=2, K^{2}=0$ (more precisely, $p_{g}=1, q=2, K^{2}=0$ for tori, $P_{12}=1, q=1, K^{2}=0$ for the hyperelliptic surfaces).
4) $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}:$ in this case, by the same argument as in proposition 2.2 , the action of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is as follows:

$$
(z, \tau) \mapsto\left(a_{\gamma}(\tau) z+b_{\gamma}(\tau), f_{\gamma}(\tau)\right)
$$

since for fixed $\tau$ we get an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$.
The cocycle $a_{\gamma}(\tau)$ induces a line bundle $L$ which is trivial on the leaves $F_{\tau}:=$ $(\mathbb{C} \times\{\tau\}) / \Gamma$, and its dual yields a subbundle of the tangent bundle of $S$.

Moreover, the canonical divisor $K_{S}$ corresponds to the cocycle $a_{\gamma}(\tau) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} f_{\gamma}(\tau)$. Therefore the canonical divisor is also trivial on the leaves $F_{\tau}$, and the extension class of

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(K_{S}-L\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{S}^{1} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0
$$

is given by a group cocycle involving only the function $\tau$.
If the action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is properly discontinuous, then $\mathbb{H} / \Gamma$ is a compact complex curve $B$, and the fibres of $f: S \rightarrow B$ are elliptic curves. There exists an étale cover $S^{\prime}$ of $S$, such that $S^{\prime}$ admits an elliptic fibration with smooth fibres onto a compact complex curve $B^{\prime}$ of genus at least 2, hence this is an elliptic bundle (the period map is constant and $S^{\prime}$ is Kähler).

If the action is not properly discontinuous, then the leaves $F_{\tau}$ are not compact. The sections of multiples of the canonical divisor yield bounded functions on the leaves, hence by Liouville's theorem these are constant. Since the leaves are not compact, the conclusion is that the Kodaira dimension of $S$ is negative or zero. It cannot be negative, else the universal cover would contain a family of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ 's. If the Kodaira dimension is zero, we know by surface classification that either the
universal cover is $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ or the fundamental group has order at most two, and in all cases we have derived a contradiction.

Hence we concluded that our surfaces $S$ are the elliptic quasi- bundles $S$ over a curve $B$ of genus $g \geq 2$; more precisely, these are the quotients of a product $(E \times C) / G$, where $E$ is an elliptic curve, $C$ is a curve of genus $g^{\prime} \geq 2$, and $G$ is a finite group acting diagonally on the product $E \times C$. These are characterized then by the properties: $P_{12} \geq 2, e(S)=0$.

In fact $P_{12} \geq 2$ ensures that the Kodaira dimension is $\geq 1$, a surface of general type has $e(S) \geq 1$, whereas for an elliptic fibration $e(S)=0$ holds if and only if we have a quasi-bundle, i.e., all the fibres are either smooth or multiple of a smooth curve.

Since $K_{S}^{2}=e(S)=0$, then $\chi(S)=0$, and Kodaira's canonical bundle formula says that $K_{S}$ is the pull back of a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on the base curve $B$ of degree equal to the degree of $K_{B}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-1\right) F_{i}^{\prime}$. This means that the base orbifold is of hyperbolic type, and by the fundamental exact sequence $\pi_{1}(E) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(S) \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{o r b}(B) \rightarrow 0$ (see [CKO03] and also chapter 5 of [Cat08]), the universal cover of $S$ is the product $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$.

## 6. 3-dimensional Kähler manifolds whose universal cover is the polydisk

In this section we are going to prove theorem 1.9.
Let $X$ be a smooth compact Kähler manifold of general type of dimension 3. Assume that the canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is ample and consider the canonical KählerEinstein metric provided by the theorem of Aubin and Yau (cf. [Yau77]). As shown in the introduction, if $X$ admits a special tensor $\omega \in H^{0}\left(X, S^{3} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)$, then by [Yau88, p.272] and [Yau93, p.479] (see also [V-Z05, p.300]) $\omega$ induces on the tangent bundle $T_{X}$ a homogeneous hypersurface $F_{X}$ of relative degree 3 which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection associated to the Kähler-Einstein metric.

In particular, taking a point $x \in X$, and considering the projectivized tangent bundle, we obtain a cubic curve $C_{x} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(T_{X}, x\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$, invariant for the action of the holonomy.

By the theorem of De Rham, the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ splits as a product of irreducible factors, $\tilde{X}=\tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2} \times \cdots \times \tilde{X}_{k}$ with $\operatorname{dim}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}\right)=n_{i}$. The restricted holonomy group also splits as $H=H_{1} \times H_{2} \times \cdots \times H_{k}$, where the action of $H_{i}$ on $T_{\tilde{X}_{i}, x_{i}}$ is irreducible ( $x_{i} \in \tilde{X}_{i}$ being an arbitrary point).

Moreover by the classical theorem of Berger-Simons either $H_{i} \cong U\left(n_{i}\right)$ or $H_{i}$ is the holonomy of an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of rank $>1$.

The idea of our proof consists in pointing out how the existence of such a cubic projective curve (possibly singular or reducible) forces a complete splitting for the action of the holonomy group (i.e., it implies the isomorphism $\left.H \cong U(1)^{3}\right)$. Consequently we obtain that $\tilde{X} \cong(\mathbb{H})^{3}$.

Proof of Thm. 1.9. Let $X$ be a smooth Kähler manifold of general type of dimension 3, with $K_{X}$ ample. Fix a point $x \in X$ and let $\omega \in H^{0}\left(X, S^{3} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)$ be a non zero section. Then $\omega$ induces a projective cubic curve $C_{x} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(T_{X, x}\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$ invariant for the action of the (restricted) holonomy $H$.

In particular $C_{x}$ is invariant for the action of the minimal linear algebraic group which contains $H$, and which we denote by $\hat{H}$. Observe that $\hat{H}$ is connected.

On the other side, by the description given above, we have $H=H_{1} \times H_{2} \times \cdots \times$ $H_{k}$, where either $H_{i} \cong U\left(n_{i}\right)$ or $H_{i}$ is the holonomy of an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of rank $>1$.

Let $\operatorname{Lin}\left(C_{x}\right)$ be the linear algebraic group of projectivities leaving $C_{x}$ invariant. We shall analyse all the possible cases for $C_{x}$, including the study of its singularities and the description of $\operatorname{Lin}\left(C_{x}\right)$, keeping in mind that we have $\mathbb{P}(\hat{H}) \subset \operatorname{Lin}\left(C_{x}\right)$.
(a) $C_{x}$ irreducible and smooth. In this case $\operatorname{Lin}\left(C_{x}\right)$ is finite, which contradicts $\mathbb{P}(\hat{H}) \subset \operatorname{Lin}\left(C_{x}\right)$, since $\operatorname{dim} \hat{H}$ is at least 3 .
(b) $C_{x}$ irreducible with a node $p$. In this case $\hat{H}$ fixes the node $p$ and the pair of tangent lines of $C_{x}$ at $p$. Since $\hat{H}$ is connected, it fixes both tangent lines.

Therefore $H$ fixes the point $p$ and a line $L$ through $p$, i.e. $H$ fixes a flag. Since $H$ is a subgroup of the unitary subgroup it acts diagonally for a suitable unitary basis, hence we conclude that $H=U(1)^{3}$.

Therefore there exists an étale covering $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ such that $T_{X^{\prime}}$ decomposes as the direct sum of 3 line bundles (the eigenbundles of the action), and the universal cover of $X$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.
(c) $C_{x}$ irreducible with a cusp $p$. In this case we can choose coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ so that $p=(1: 0: 0)$ and on the affine chart $\left\{x_{0}=1\right\}$ the curve $C_{x}$ is parametrized by $t \mapsto\left(1, t^{2}, t^{3}\right)$.

Now we have : $\mathbb{C}^{*} \cong \operatorname{Lin}\left(C_{x}\right)$ and in the affine chart $\left\{x_{0}=1\right\} \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ yields the automorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{x} & \rightarrow C_{x} \\
\left(1, t^{2}, t^{3}\right) & \mapsto\left(1, \lambda^{2} t^{2}, \lambda^{3} t^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Whence even in this case the action of $\hat{H}$ is diagonal and we conclude as before.
(d) $C_{x}$ decomposes as the union of a line $L$ and an irreducible conic $Q$. In this case $\hat{H}$ fixes the intersection set $L \cap Q$, which consists of one or two points. By connectedness of $\hat{H}$, $\hat{H}$ fixes a point $P \in L$ and the line $L$, and we conclude as before.
(e) $C_{x}$ decomposes as the union of a double line $2 L_{1}$ and a line $L_{2}$. In this case $\hat{H}$ fixes the point $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\{p\}$ and the line $L_{2}$ and we are done.
(f) $C_{x}$ decomposes as the union of 3 distinct lines $C_{x}=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}$. There are two possibilities: the three lines are concurrent in the same point $p$ or $L_{1} \cap L_{2} \cap L_{3}=$ $\emptyset$ and there are three singular points $p_{i j}=L_{i} \cap L_{j}(1 \leq i<j \leq 3)$.

In both cases, since $\hat{H}$ is connected it fixes each singular point and each line. Hence there is a flag fixed by $\hat{H}$ and we are done.
(g) $C_{x}$ decomposes as a triple line $3 L$. We are going to show that this case cannot happen.

Assume the contrary and consider the line subbundle $\mathcal{L} \subset \Omega_{X}^{1}$ corresponding to $L$. We have a section

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(3 \mathcal{L}-K_{X}\right) \subset S^{3} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-K_{X}\right)
$$

(indeed, cf. [Yau93] or [V-Z05], this section has no zeros).
Therefore we have $3 \mathcal{L} \equiv K_{X}+D$, with $D$ effective (in fact $D$ is a trivial divisor). This in particular implies $\mathcal{L}$ big because $K_{X}$ is ample by our assumption. This assertion, as in the proof of theorem 1.6, contradicts the theorem of Bogomolov (cf. $[\operatorname{Bog} 77]$ ).

Conversely, if $X \cong \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} / \Gamma$, with $\Gamma$ a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H} \times$ $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})$ acting freely, then by $[\operatorname{Sieg} 73]$ it is immediately seen that $K_{X}$ is ample and by Prop. 1.4 $X$ admits a semi special tensor.

## 7. 4-dimensional Kähler manifolds of general type with a special tensor whose universal cover is not a product of curves

One of the consequences of the theorem of Berger-Simons is that an irreducible Kähler manifold $X$ of dimension $n$ and with $K_{X}$ ample (irreducible in the sense of De Rham's theorem) has as holonomy group a proper subgroup $H \subset U(n)$ if and only if $\tilde{X}$ is a Hermitian symmetric space of rank $\geq 2$ (see [Yau88], and especially [V-Z05, 1.4 and 1.5]).

Since we are interested in the case where $K_{X}$ is ample we look for the Cartan realization of a Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type as a bounded complex symmetric domain.

We shall find first such a bounded symmetric domain such that it has a holonomy invariant hypersurface of degree $n$, and then we shall apply the classical result of Borel on complex analytic Clifford-Klein forms. A complex analytic CliffordKlein form is simply a compact quotient $X=\tilde{X} / \Gamma$, where the group $\Gamma$ acts freely (thus $X$ is a projective manifold with ample canonical bundle).

Borel's theorem (cf. [Bor63]) states that any bounded symmetric domain $\tilde{X}$ of dimension $n$ admits infinitely many compact complex analytic Clifford-Klein forms, whose arithmetic genus $1-\chi(X)$ can be arbitrarily large in absolute value.

We shall prove Theorem 1.10 considering a Clifford-Klein form $X$ associated to the noncompact Hermitian symmetric space of complex dimension $4 \tilde{X}:=$ $S U(2,2) / S(U(2) \times U(2))$. In higher dimensions, it clearly suffices to take the product of such a projective manifold $X$ with $n-4$ projective curves $C_{1}, \ldots C_{n-4}$ of genus at least 2.

Proof of Thm. 1.10. Let $\tilde{X}=S U(2,2) / S(U(2) \times U(2))$. $\tilde{X}$ is a noncompact Hermitian symmetric space of dimension 4 and rank 2. Recall that a $4 \times 4$ matrix $g \in S U(2,2)$ can be written as

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{det}(g)=1$ and $A, B, C, D$ are $2 \times 2$ complex matrices satisfying

$$
{ }^{t} \bar{A} \cdot A-{ }^{t} \bar{C} \cdot C=\operatorname{Id} ;{ }^{t} \bar{B} \cdot B-{ }^{t} \bar{D} \cdot D=-\mathrm{Id} ;{ }^{t} \bar{B} \cdot A-{ }^{t} \bar{D} \cdot C=0
$$

whereas the subgroup $S(U(2) \times U(2))$ can be identified with the matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right) \quad(\text { with } \quad A, D \in U(2), \operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}(D)=1)
$$

Let $\mathfrak{s u}(2,2)$ be the Lie algebra of $S U(2,2)$. The Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{s u}(2,2)=$ $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ can be written down explicitly by means of

$$
\mathfrak{p} \cong\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
{ }^{t} \bar{B} & 0
\end{array}\right), \mathfrak{k} \cong\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\text { with }{ }^{t} \bar{A}=-A,{ }^{t} \bar{D}=-D\right)
$$

and for $x \in \tilde{X}$ we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_{X, x}$.

The holonomy action coincides with the adjoint representation of $S(U(2) \times$ $U(2)$ ) on $\mathfrak{p}$, given for every matrix $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right) \in S(U(2) \times U(2))$ by the map $\operatorname{Ad}_{M}: \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ described by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
t \bar{B} & 0
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \cdot B \cdot D^{-1} \\
D \cdot\left({ }^{t} \bar{B}\right) \cdot A^{-1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let us now consider the Cartan realization of $\tilde{X}$. It is obtained by the Siegel domain in the space of $2 \times 2$ matrices $M_{2,2}(\mathbb{C})$ (see [Hel78, p.527]):

$$
X \cong\left\{Z \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{C}): \operatorname{Id}-{ }^{t} Z \cdot \bar{Z}>0\right\}
$$

and the action of $S U(2,2)$ on $X$ is given by:

$$
Z \mapsto(A Z+B) \cdot(C Z+D)^{-1}
$$

Considering the tangent space at 0 , the action of $S(U(2) \times U(2))$ on an "infinitesimal" $2 \times 2$ matrix $Z$ becomes

$$
Z \mapsto A Z D^{-1}
$$

and in particular we recover the above description of the adjoint representation of $S(U(2) \times U(2))$.

Notice that, since $\operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}(D)=1$, we have $\operatorname{det} A Z D^{-1}=\operatorname{det}(A)^{2} \cdot \operatorname{det} Z$. This exactly means that the determinant is a semi-invariant for the action of $S(U(2) \times U(2))$ on $T_{X, 0}$.

Therefore, identifying $T_{X, 0}$ with $M_{2,2}$, and considering the projectivized tangent bundle at $0, \mathbb{P}\left(T_{X, 0}\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{3}$, $\{\operatorname{det}(Z)=0\}$ defines a quadric surface, invariant for the action of $S(U(2) \times U(2))$, and of course we obtain an invariant quartic projective surface given by $\left\{Z \in M_{2,2}:(\operatorname{det}(Z))^{2}=0\right\}$.

Applying now the theorem of Borel cited above we obtain a compact complex analytic Clifford-Klein form $X \cong \tilde{X} / \Gamma$ of $\tilde{X}$. We shall exhibit a semispecial tensor $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\tilde{X}$ which will descend to $X$ yielding a semispecial tensor. Since $\tilde{X}$ is irreducible, our proof will be complete.

We want to show how this invariant surface defines a special tensor.
Write, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\gamma(Z)=(A Z+B) \cdot(C Z+D)^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \gamma(Z) \cdot(C Z+D)=(A Z+B) .
$$

Differentiating the above equality, we obtain

$$
d \gamma(Z) \cdot(C Z+D)=\left(A-(A Z+B) \cdot(C Z+D)^{-1} C\right) \cdot d Z
$$

Taking determinants, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{det}(d \gamma(Z)) \cdot \operatorname{det}(C Z+D)=\operatorname{det}\left(A-(A Z+B) \cdot(C Z+D)^{-1} C\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}(d Z)= \\
=\operatorname{det}\left((C Z+D)^{-1}\right) \operatorname{det}(C) \operatorname{det}\left(A C^{-1} D-B\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}(d Z)
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe now that, setting ${ }^{*} B:={ }^{t} \bar{B}$, equations ( $\star$ ) yield

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A C^{-1} D-B\right)=\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{*} B^{-1}{ }^{*} D D-B\right)=\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{*} B^{-1}\right)
$$

An easy calculation using the above equations yields then $\operatorname{det}(C) \operatorname{det}\left(A C^{-1} D-\right.$ $B)=\operatorname{det}(A) \operatorname{det}\left({ }^{*} D\right)^{-1}=\operatorname{det}(A) \operatorname{det}(D)$.

If we restrict to the isotropy subgroup $H=S(U(2) \times U(2))$, we get $\operatorname{det}(A)$. $\operatorname{det}(D)=1$. We have now a character of the group which is trivial on $H$. This character is then trivial since the homogeneous domain is contractible, whence the group $G:=S(U(2,2))$ is homotopically equivalent to $H$.

Since finally $\operatorname{det}\left((C Z+D)^{-4}\right)$ is the Jacobian determinant of the transformation $\gamma, \tilde{\omega}:=\operatorname{det}(d Z)^{2}$ is a $\Gamma$-invariant section of $H^{0}\left(\tilde{X}, S^{n} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}\left(-K_{\tilde{X}}\right)\right)$, thus a special tensor which descends to $X$.
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# Appendix: Holonomy invariant hypersurfaces 

Antonio J. Di Scala


#### Abstract

We give a description of holonomy invariant hypersurfaces of the projective tangent space at a point $p$ of a Kähler manifold $M$. Namely, we prove that if the local De Rham decomposition around $p$ does not contain higher rank Hermitian symmetric spaces then such invariant hypersurfaces are unions of linear hyperplanes.


## A. The theorems of De Rham and Berger.

Let $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension $n$. Let $\nabla$ be the LeviCivita connection of $g$. Let $T_{p} M$ be the tangent space at $p$ and let $\operatorname{Hol}_{p}$ be the restricted holonomy group at $p \in M$ i.e., the subgroup of $U\left(T_{p} M\right)$ generated by $\nabla$-parallel transport around null homotopic piecewise differentiable loops based at $p$. Then according to the complex version of De Rham's decomposition theorem locally (around $p$ ) $M$ splits as a product. More precisely,

$$
M=\Omega \times M_{1} \times M_{2} \times \cdots \times M_{k}
$$

where each $M_{i}$ is an irreducible Kähler manifold and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{s}$ is the so-called flat factor, i.e., $\Omega$ is an open domain of $\mathbb{C}^{s}$ with the flat metric.

The restricted holonomy group $\operatorname{Hol}_{p}$ also splits as

$$
\operatorname{Hol}_{p}=\{e\} \times \operatorname{Hol}_{p_{1}} \times \operatorname{Hol}_{p_{2}} \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Hol}_{p_{k}}
$$

where each $\operatorname{Hol}_{p_{i}}$ is the restricted holonomy group of $M_{i}$ at $p_{i}$. The action of $\operatorname{Hol}_{p_{i}}$ on $T_{p_{i}} M_{i}$ is irreducible, i.e. there are no invariant (real) subspace of $T_{p_{i}} M_{i}$. Moreover, the action of $\operatorname{Hol}_{p_{i}}$ on $T_{p_{j}}$ is trivial for $i \neq j$.

The Berger holonomy theorem implies that either $\operatorname{Hol}_{p_{i}}$ acts transitively on the unit sphere of $T_{p} M_{i}$ or $M_{i}$ is a locally irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of rank $>1$. So we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hol}_{p}=\{e\} \times U(1)^{r} \times T \times K \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(1)^{r}$ is the product of all holonomy groups along non-flat factors of complex dimension one, $T$ denotes the product of the holonomy groups along all the factors of complex dimension greater than 1 whose holonomy is transitive on the sphere, and $K$ the product of the holonomy groups along all the factors which are locally irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank greater than 1.

Thus the tangent space $\mathbb{C}^{n}=T_{p} M=\mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{C}^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{t} \times \mathbb{C}^{k}$ also decomposes according to the above holonomy splitting (*).

Let $\mathbb{P} T_{p} M$ be the projective tangent space of $M$ at the point $p$. Let $X_{f} \subset$ $\mathbb{P} T_{p} M$ be a $\mathrm{Hol}_{p}$-invariant hypersuperface given by an homogeneous polynomial

[^1]$f \in \mathbb{C}\left[X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right]$. Namely, $X_{f}=\left\{[V] \in \mathbb{P} T_{p} M: f(V)=0\right\}$ and $g(V) \in X_{f}$ if $[V] \in X_{f}$ for all $g \in \operatorname{Hol}_{p}$. If $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n}=T_{p} M$ we can write
$$
V=(F, R, T, H) \in \mathbb{C}^{s} \times \mathbb{C}^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{t} \times \mathbb{C}^{k}
$$

Proposition A.1. Assume that $X_{f}$ is an $\mathrm{Hol}_{p}$-invariant hypersurface given by the polynomial $f$. Then $f$ does not depend upon the variables $\mathbb{C}^{t}$ associated to the transitive $T$-factor, i.e., $\frac{\partial f}{\partial T_{i}}=0$ if $T_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{t}$.

Proof. Let $g \in \operatorname{Hol}_{p}$ be an element of the form

$$
g=(e, e, t, e) \in\{e\} \times U(1)^{r} \times T \times K
$$

Then,

$$
(g . f)(F, R, T, K)=f(F, R, t . T, K)=0 \text { if }[F: R: T: K] \in X_{f}
$$

So

$$
(g . f)(F, R, T, K)=f(F, R, t . T, K)=m(t) f(F, R, T, K)
$$

where $m(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ is a morphism of $T$, i.e. $m\left(t . t^{\prime}\right)=m(t) m\left(t^{\prime}\right)$. Since $T$ corresponds to the irreducible factors of dimension greater than 1 it follows that $T / \operatorname{ker}(m)$ also acts transitively on the unit sphere of the corresponding factor $\mathbb{C}^{t}$. Then $f$ just depends upon $|T|^{2}$ and this implies that $f$ does not depends upon $T$ since $f$ is a polynomial.

## B. Invariant hypersurfaces of an irreducible HSS.

It is a basic fact that the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}_{p}$ of an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space $M=G / K$ coincides with the isotropy group $K$ at $p \in M$. Moreover, the group $\mathrm{Hol}_{p}$ acts on $T_{p} M$ as $K$ acts the adjoint representation on $\mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$.

An important theorem of Chevalley $[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{p} .195]$ states that the algebra of $\operatorname{Ad}(K)$ invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of $W$-invariant polynomials of the Cartan subalgebra, where $W$ is the Weyl group [ $\mathbf{H}$, p.356]. As a consequence we get:

Lemma B.1. If the De Rham decomposition of $M$ contain as a factor an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space $G / K$ of rank greater than 1 then there exist invariant hypersurfaces which are not the union of linear varieties, i.e. there exists $f$ which is not a product of linear factors such that $X_{f}$ is Hol-invariant.

Proof. Take as an example the polynomial $f=\Delta$ given in $[\mathbf{K}$, p.227] merely defined on the variables associated to the factor $G / K$.

Remark B.2. The above polynomial $\Delta$ has degree equal to the rank $r$ of the symmetric factor $G / K$, i.e., $\operatorname{deg}(\Delta)=r$. The relationship between the dimension $d$ and the rank $r$ of an irreducible HSS is given by the following formula [Roos, p.522]:

$$
d=r+a \frac{r(r-1)}{2}+b r
$$

So if $M=G / K$ is not a symmetric space of type $I I I_{n}$ with $n$ even or of type $I V_{n}$ with $n$ odd, then there exists $X_{f}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{f}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(M)$ since $r$ divides $d$ (see [Roos, 525]). Actually, a precise control on the degree of the possible $X_{f}$ is
given by the degrees of the generators of the algebra of $W$-invariants $[\mathbf{H}$, Theorem 3.3, p.359].

## C. The splitting of $f$ into linear factors.

Assume that $M$ has a non-irreducible symmetric space of rank greater than 1 as a factor. Then we get the following theorem.

Theorem C.1. Assume that no irreducible symmetric space of rank greater than 1 appears in the De Rham factorization of $M$. If $X_{f}$ is a Hol-invariant hypersurface then $X_{f}$ is an union of linear hyperplanes, i.e. $f$ splits completely as product of linear forms.

Proof. Let $X_{f}$ be an invariant hypersurface given by the homogeneous polynomial $f$. According to Proposition A.1, $f$ depends only on the variables associated to the $\left(\mathbb{C}^{s}, U(1)^{s}\right)$ factor in the decomposition $(*)$. That is to say, $f$ is a homogenous polynomial of $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right]$ invariant by the isotropy group $U(1)^{s}$ of the polydisc $D^{s} \subset \mathbb{C}^{s}$.

Corollary C.2. Let $M$ be a Kähler-Einstein manifold with negative Einstein constant. Let $X_{f} \subset \mathbb{P} T_{p} M$ be a $\operatorname{Hol}_{p}$-invariant hypersurface. Then $X_{f}$ is a union of linear hyperplanes if and only if the polynomial $f$ do not depend upon the variables associated to the irreducible symmetric factors of rank greater than 1 of the De Rham decomposition of $M$.
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