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Abstract—We establish the fundamental limits of lossless linear analog compression by considering the recovery of random vectors \(x \in \mathbb{R}^m\) from the noiseless linear measurements \(y = Ax\) with measurement matrix \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\). Specifically, for a random vector \(x \in \mathbb{R}^m\) of arbitrary distribution we show that \(x\) can be recovered with zero error probability from \(n > \inf \dim_{MB}(U)\) linear measurements, where \(\dim_{MB}(\cdot)\) denotes the lower modified Minkowski dimension and the infimum is over all sets \(U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m\) with \(P[x \in U] = 1\). This achievability statement holds for Lebesgue almost all measurement matrices \(A\). We then show that \(s\)-rectifiable random vectors—a stochastic generalization of \(s\)-sparse vectors—can be recovered with zero error probability from \(n > s\) linear measurements. From classical compressed sensing theory we would expect \(n \geq s\) to be necessary for successful recovery of \(x\). Surprisingly, certain classes of \(s\)-rectifiable random vectors can be recovered from fewer than \(s\) measurements. Imposing an additional regularity condition on the distribution of \(s\)-rectifiable random vectors \(x\), we do get the expected converse result of \(s\) measurements being necessary. The resulting class of random vectors appears to be new and will be referred to as \(s\)-analytic random vectors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Compressed sensing [1]–[3] deals with the recovery of unknown sparse vectors \(x \in \mathbb{R}^m\) from a small (relative to \(m\)) number, \(n\), of linear measurements of the form \(y = Ax\), where \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\) is referred to as the measurement matrix. Wu and Verdú [4], [5] developed an information-theoretic framework for compressed sensing, fashioned as an almost lossless analog compression problem. Specifically, [4] presents asymptotic achievability bounds, which show that for almost all (a.a.) measurement matrices \(A\) a random i.i.d. vector \(x\) can be recovered with arbitrarily small probability of error from \(n = (\lceil Rm \rceil)\) linear measurements, provided that \(R > R_0\), where \(R_0\) denotes the Minkowski dimension compression rate [4, Def. 10] of \(x\). For the special case of the i.i.d. components in \(x\) having a discrete-rectangular mixture distribution, this threshold is tight in the sense of \(R \geq R_0\) being necessary for the existence of a measurement matrix \(A\) such that \(x\) can be recovered with probability of error strictly smaller than \(1\) for \(m\) sufficiently large. Discrete-continuous mixture distributions \(\rho \mu^c + (1 - \rho)\mu^d\) are relevant as \([pm]\)—by the law of large numbers—can be interpreted as the sparsity level of \(x\) and \(R_0 = \rho\). A more direct and non-asymptotic (i.e., fixed-\(m\)) statement in [4] says that a.a. (with respect to a \(\sigma\)-finite Borel measure) \(s\)-sparse random vectors can be recovered with zero probability of error if \(n > s\). Again, this result holds for Lebesgue a.a. measurement matrices \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\). A corresponding converse does, however, not seem to be available.

Contributions. We establish the fundamental limits of lossless (i.e., zero probability of error) linear analog compression in the non-asymptotic (i.e., fixed-\(m\)) regime for random vectors \(x\) of arbitrary distribution. In particular, \(x\) need not be i.i.d. or supported on the union of subspaces (as in classical compressed sensing theory). The formal statement of the problem we consider is as follows. Suppose we have \(n\) (noiseless) linear measurements of the random vector \(x \in \mathbb{R}^m\) in the form of \(y = Ax\). For a given \(\varepsilon \in [0, 1]\), we want to determine whether a decoder, i.e., a Borel measurable map \(g_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m\) exists such that

\[
P\left[g_A(Ax) \neq x\right] \leq \varepsilon.
\]  

(1)

Specifically, we shall be interested in statements of the following form:

Property P1: For Lebesgue a.a. measurement matrices \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\), there exists a decoder \(g_A\) satisfying (1) with \(\varepsilon = 0\).

Property P2: There exist an \(\varepsilon \in [0, 1]\), an \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\), and a decoder \(g_A\) satisfying (1).

Our main achievability result is as follows. For \(x \in \mathbb{R}^m\) of arbitrary distribution, we show that P1 holds for \(n > \inf \dim_{MB}(U)\), where \(\dim_{MB}(\cdot)\) denotes the lower modified Minkowski dimension (see Definition 2) and the infimum is over all sets \(U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m\) with \(P[x \in U] = 1\). We emphasize that it is the usage of modified Minkowski dimension, as opposed to Minkowski dimension, that allows us to obtain an achievability result for \(\varepsilon = 0\). The central conceptual element in the proof of this statement is a slightly modified version of the probabilistic null-space property first reported in [6]. The asymptotic achievability bounds in [4] can be recovered in our framework.

We make the connection of our results to classical compressed sensing explicit by considering random vectors \(x \in \mathbb{R}^m\) that consist of \(s\) i.i.d. Gaussian entries at positions drawn uniformly at random and that have all other entries equal to zero. This class can be considered a stochastic analogon of \(s\)-sparse vectors and belongs to the more general class of \(s\)-rectifiable random vectors, originally introduced in [7] to derive a new concept of entropy that goes beyond classical...
entropy and differential entropy. Specifically, a random vector $x$ is said to be $s$-rectifiable if there exists an $s$-rectifiable set $\mathcal{U}$ with $P(x \in \mathcal{U}) = 1$ and the distribution of $x$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the $s$-dimensional Hausdorff measure.\(^1\) Our achievability result particularized for $s$-rectifiable random vectors shows that $P_1$ holds for $n > s$. From classical compressed sensing theory we would expect $n \geq s$ to be necessary for successful recovery of $x$. Our information-theoretic framework reveals, however, that this is not the case for certain classes of $s$-rectifiable random vectors. This will be illustrated by way of an example, which constructs a 2-rectifiable set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ of positive 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure that can be compressed linearly in a one-to-one fashion into $\mathbb{R}$. Operationally, this implies that zero error probability recovery from $n = 1 < s = 2$ measurement is possible. What renders this result surprising is that $\mathcal{G}$ contains the image—under a continuous differentiable mapping—of a set in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of positive Lebesgue measure. We then show that imposing a regularity condition on the distribution of $x$, does lead to the expected converse result in the sense of $n \geq s$ being necessary for $P_2$ to hold. The resulting class of random vectors appears to be new and will be referred to as $s$-analytic random vectors.

**Notation.** Capital boldface letters $A, B, \ldots$ designate deterministic matrices and lower-case boldface letters $a, b, \ldots$ stand for deterministic vectors. We use sans-serif letters, e.g. $x$, for random quantities and roman letters, e.g. $\xi$, for deterministic quantities. For measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on the same measurable space, we write $\mu \ll \nu$ to express that $\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu$ (i.e., for every measurable set $A$, $\nu(A) = 0$ implies $\mu(A) = 0$). The product measure of $\mu$ and $\nu$ is denoted by $\mu \times \nu$. The superscript $^\top$ stands for transposition. $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x^\top x}$ is the Euclidean norm of $x$ and $\|x\|_0$ denotes the number of non-zero entries of $x$. For the Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^k, \|\cdot\|_2)$, we let the open ball of radius $\rho$ centered at $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ be $B_k(u, \rho)$, and $V(k, \rho)$ refers to its volume. $\mathscr{L}^m$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^m$. If $f : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^l$ is differentiable, we write $Df(v)$ for the differential of $f$ at $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and we define the $\min\{k, l\}$-dimensional Jacobian $Jf(v)$ at $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ by $Jf(v) = \sqrt{\det(Df(v)^\top Df(v))}$, if $l < k$, and $Jf(v) = \sqrt{\det((Df(v))^\top Df(v))}$, if $l \geq k$. For a mapping $f$, $f \neq 0$ means that $f$ is not identically zero. For $f : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^l$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$, $f|_A$ denotes the restriction of $f$ to $A$. A mapping is said to be $C^1$ if its derivative exists and is continuous. $\ker(f)$ stands for the kernel of $f$.

The definitions of the fractal quantities used in this paper are standard and can be found, along with their basic properties in, e.g., [9], [10]. Throughout the paper, we omit proofs due to space limitations.

\(^1\)Note that the classical Lebesgue decomposition of measures into continuous, discrete, and singular parts is not useful for $s$-rectifiable random vectors as their distributions are always singular (except for the trivial cases $s = m$ and $s = 0$). We therefore use the $s$-dimensional Hausdorff measure as reference measure for the ambient space.

**II. Achievability**

We quantify the description complexity of random vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ of general distribution through the infimum over the lower modified Minkowski dimensions of sets $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ with $P(x \in \mathcal{U}) = 1$. We start by defining Minkowski dimension.

**Definition 1.** (Minkowski dimension) Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a non-empty bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. The lower Minkowski dimension of $\mathcal{U}$ is defined as

$$\dim_B(\mathcal{U}) = \liminf_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\log N(\rho)}{\log \frac{1}{\rho}},$$

and the upper Minkowski dimension as

$$\overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{U}) = \limsup_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\log N(\rho)}{\log \frac{1}{\rho}},$$

where $N(\rho) = \min \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}} B_m(u_i, \rho), \ u_i \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$ is the covering number of $\mathcal{U}$ for radius $\rho$. If $\dim_B(\mathcal{U}) = \dim_B(\mathcal{U}) =: \dim_B(\mathcal{U})$, we say that $\dim_B(\mathcal{U})$ is the Minkowski dimension of $\mathcal{U}$.

Minkowski dimension is a useful measure only for (non-empty) bounded sets, as it equals infinity for unbounded sets. A measure of description complexity that applies to unbounded sets as well is modified Minkowski dimension.

**Definition 2.** (Modified Minkowski dimension) Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be a non-empty set. The lower modified Minkowski dimension of $\mathcal{U}$ is defined as

$$\dim_{MB}(\mathcal{U}) = \inf_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \left\{ \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \dim_B(\mathcal{U}_i) : \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{U}_i \right\},$$

where the infimum is over all countable covers of $\mathcal{U}$ by non-empty bounded Borel sets. The upper modified Minkowski dimension of $\mathcal{U}$ is

$$\overline{\dim}_{MB}(\mathcal{U}) = \inf_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \left\{ \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{U}_i) : \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{U}_i \right\},$$

where, again, the infimum is over all countable covers of $\mathcal{U}$ by non-empty bounded Borel sets. If $\dim_{MB}(\mathcal{U}) = \dim_{MB}(\mathcal{U}) =: \dim_{MB}(\mathcal{U})$, we say that $\dim_{MB}(\mathcal{U})$ is the modified Minkowski dimension of $\mathcal{U}$.

Upper modified Minkowski dimension has the advantage of being countably stable [9, Sec. 3.4], whereas upper Minkowski dimension is only finitely stable. For example, all countable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$ have modified Minkowski dimension zero, but there are countable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$ with nonzero Minkowski dimension:

**Example 1.** [9, Ex. 3.5] Let $\mathcal{F} = \{0, 1/2, 1/3, \ldots\}$. Then, $\dim_{MB}(\mathcal{F}) = 0 < \dim_B(\mathcal{F}) = 1/2$.

\(^2\)Minkowski dimension is sometimes also referred to as box-counting dimension, which is the origin of the subscript $B$ in the notation $\dim_B(\cdot)$ used below.
Theorem 1. For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^m \) of arbitrary distribution, \( n > \inf \dim_{\text{MB}}(U) \) is sufficient for Property P1 to hold, where the infimum is over all sets \( U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \) with \( P[x \in U] = 1 \).

This theorem generalizes the achievability result of [4] to random vectors \( x \in \mathbb{R}^m \) of arbitrary distribution. Specifically, neither do the entries of \( x \) have to be i.i.d. nor does \( x \) have to be generated according to the finite union of subspaces model. Finally, perhaps most importantly, the result is non-asymptotic (i.e., for finite \( m \)) and pertains to zero error probability.

Proposition 1. Suppose that \( \dim_{\text{MB}}(U) < n \). Then, we have
\[
\ker(A) \cap (U \setminus \{0\}) = \emptyset
\]
for Lebesgue a.a. matrices \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \).

We next particularize our achievability result for \( s \)-rectifiable random vectors \( x \)—defined below—and start by introducing the central concepts null-space property, first reported in [6] for (non-empty) bounded sets and expressed in terms of lower Minkowski dimension. If the lower modified Minkowski dimension of a non-empty (possibly unbounded) set \( U \) is smaller than \( n \), then, for a.a. measurement matrices \( A \), the set \( U \) intersects the \((m-n)\)-dimensional kernel of \( A \) at most trivially. What is remarkable here is that the notions of Euclidean dimension (for the kernel of the mapping) and of lower modified Minkowski dimension (for \( U \)) are compatible. The formal statement is as follows.

Definition 3. (Hausdorff measure) Let \( \mathcal{H}^s \) and \( \mathcal{H}^{s,H} \) be given by
\[
\mathcal{H}^s(U) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{H}^s_\delta(U)
\]
where, for \( 0 < \delta \leq \infty \),
\[
\mathcal{H}^s_\delta(U) = \frac{V(s,1)}{2^s} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \dim(U_i)^s : \dim(U_i) < \delta, U_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} U_i \right\}
\]
for countable covers \( \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) and the diameter of \( U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) is defined as
\[
\dim(U) = \begin{cases} 
\sup\{\|u - v\|_2 : u, v \in U\}, & \text{for } U \neq \emptyset, \\
0, & \text{for } U = \emptyset.
\end{cases}
\]
We next establish an important uniqueness property of s-rectifiable random vectors.

**Lemma 1.** If \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is s-rectifiable and t-rectifiable, then \( s = t \).

Roughly speaking the reason for this uniqueness is the following. If we reduce \( s \), then there exists no \( s \)-rectifiable set \( \mathcal{U} \) with \( \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{U}] = 1 \), if we increase it, then \( \mu_s \ll \mathcal{H}^s \) is violated as a consequence of the sharp transition behavior of Hausdorff measure depicted in Figure 1.

We next particularize our achievability result, Theorem 1, for \( s \)-rectifiable random vectors. To this end, we first establish an auxiliary result.

**Lemma 2.** Each \( s \)-rectifiable random vector \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \) has at least one set \( \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \) with \( \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{U}] = 1 \) and \( \dim_{MB}(\mathcal{U}) \leq s \).

Combining Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 yields the following achievability result for \( s \)-rectifiable random vectors.

**Corollary 1.** For \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \) \( s \)-rectifiable, \( n > s \) is sufficient for P1 to hold.

### III. Converse

Our achievability result particularized for \( s \)-rectifiable random vectors shows that P1 holds for \( n > s \). From classical compressed sensing theory we would expect \( n \geq s \) to be necessary for successful recovery of \( \mathbf{x} \). Our information-theoretic framework reveals, however, that this is not the case for certain classes of \( s \)-rectifiable random vectors. This surprising phenomenon will be illustrated through the following example. We construct a 2-rectifiable set \( \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \) of positive 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure that can be compressed linearly in a one-to-one fashion into \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). What renders this result surprising is that all this is possible although \( \mathcal{G} \) contains the one-to-one image—under a continuous differentiable mapping—of a set in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) of positive Lebesgue measure (see Figure 2). Operationally, this shows that 2-rectifiable random vectors \( \mathbf{x} \) with \( \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{G}] = 1 \) can be recovered from \( n = 1 < s = 2 \) linear measurements with zero probability of error. Let us proceed to the formal statement of the example.

**Example 3.** We construct a 2-rectifiable set \( \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \) with \( \mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{G}) > 0 \) and a corresponding linear mapping \( f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) such that \( f \) is one-to-one on \( \mathcal{G} = h(\mathcal{A}) \), where \( h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \) is \( C^1 \), \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) has \( \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A}) > 0 \), and \( h \) is one-to-one on \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Construction of \( \mathcal{G} \):** It can be shown that there exist a \( C^1 \)-mapping \( \kappa : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \) and a bounded Borel set \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) with \( 0 < \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A}) < \infty \) such that \( \kappa \) is one-to-one on \( \mathcal{A} \). Let \( \mathcal{G} = \{(z \kappa(z))^T | z \in \mathcal{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \). Since \( \kappa \) is a \( C^1 \)-mapping, \( h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{z} &\rightarrow (z \kappa(z))^T
\end{align*}
\]

is locally Lipschitz. We then cover \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) by compact sets \( \mathcal{K}_i \), \( i \in \mathcal{I} \), with \( \mathcal{I} \) countable. The local Lipschitz property of \( h \) implies that the mappings \( \varphi_i = h|\mathcal{K}_i \) are Lipschitz. Therefore, by Definition 6,

\[
\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \varphi_i(\mathcal{K}_i \cap \mathcal{A})
\]

is 2-rectifiable.

**Construction of \( f \):** The mapping

\[
\begin{align*}
f : \mathbb{R}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
(x_1 x_2 x_3)^T &\rightarrow x_3
\end{align*}
\]

is linear and one-to-one on \( \mathcal{G} \).

The structure theorem in geometric measure theory [10, Thm. 2.53] implies that the 2-rectifiable set \( \mathcal{G} \) in Example 3 is “visible” from almost all directions, in the sense of the projection of \( \mathcal{G} \) onto a 2-dimensional linear subspace in general position having positive Lebesgue measure. However, as just demonstrated, this does not prevent \( \mathcal{G} \) from being linearly compressible into \( \mathbb{R} \) in a one-to-one fashion.

For \( s \)-rectifiable random vectors, \( n \geq s \) is—in general—not necessary for successful recovery of \( \mathbf{x} \). Additional requirements on \( \mathbf{x} \) need to be imposed to get converse statements of the form of what we would expect from classical compressed sensing theory. This leads us to the new concept of \( s \)-analytic measures and \( s \)-analytic random vectors. We start with the definition of real analytic mappings.

**Definition 8.** We call

(i) a function \( f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) real analytic if, for each \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k \), \( f \) may be represented by a convergent power series in some neighborhood of \( \mathbf{x} \);

(ii) a mapping \( f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l \), \( \mathbf{x} \mapsto (f_1(\mathbf{x}) \ldots f_l(\mathbf{x}))^T \) real analytic if each component \( f_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, l \), is a real analytic function.

We are now ready to define the notion of \( s \)-analytic measures and \( s \)-analytic random vectors.

**Definition 9.** We call a Borel measure \( \mu \) on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) \( s \)-analytic if for each \( \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \) with \( \mu(\mathcal{U}) > 0 \) we can find a real analytic
mapping $h : \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ of $s$-dimensional Jacobian $Jh \neq 0$ and a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ of positive Lebesgue measure such that $h(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

**Definition 10.** The random vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is called $s$-analytic if $\mu_s$ is $s$-analytic.

It is instructive to compare $s$-analytic sets $\mathcal{U}$ with $\mu(\mathcal{U}) > 0$ to the set $\mathcal{G}$ in Example 3. Both $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ contain the image of a set with positive Lebesgue measure under a certain mapping. However, the mapping in Example 3 is $C^1$, whereas the mapping in Definition 9 is real-analytic (with $Jh \neq 0$). It turns out that real analyticity is strong enough to prevent $\mathcal{U}$ from being mapped linearly in a one-to-one fashion into $\mathbb{R}^t$ for $t < s$. Since this holds for every set $\mathcal{U}$ with $\mu(\mathcal{U}) > 0$, $n \geq s$ is necessary for $P2$ to hold for $s$-analytic $x$. For if there existed an $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$, an $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, and a decoder $g_A$ satisfying (1), there would have to be a set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ with $P[x \in \mathcal{U}] \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that $A$ is one-to-one on $\mathcal{U}$, which is not possible for $n < s$ thanks to the analyticity of $\mu$.

We are now ready to state our converse result for $s$-analytic random vectors.

**Theorem 2.** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear mapping, $h : \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ a real analytic mapping of $s$-dimensional Jacobian $Jh \neq 0$, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ of positive Lebesgue measure. Suppose that $f$ is one-to-one on $h(\mathcal{A})$. Then $n \geq s$.

**Corollary 2.** For $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $s$-analytic, $n \geq s$ is necessary for $P2$ to hold.

This result is, in fact, a strong converse as it shows that for $n < s$ there is no pair $(A, g_A)$ such that (1) holds for $\varepsilon < 1$. We close this section by establishing important properties of $s$-analytic measures, which will be used in the examples in the next section.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that $\mu$ is $s$-analytic. Then,

(i) $\mu$ is $t$-analytic for all $t \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$;

(ii) $\mu \ll \mathcal{H}^s$.

**IV. EXAMPLES**

**Example 4.** Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be as in Example 2. Using the properties of the Gaussian distribution, a straightforward analysis reveals that $x$ is $s$-analytic. Furthermore, the $s$-rectifiable set $\mathcal{U}$ in (3) satisfies $P[x \in \mathcal{U}] = 1$. Therefore, by (ii) in Lemma 3, $x$ is $s$-rectifiable. It follows from Corollary 1 that $n > s$ is sufficient for $P1$ to hold and from Corollary 2 that $n \geq s$ is necessary for $P2$ to hold. The information-theoretic limit we obtain here is best possible in the sense of classical compressed sensing where recovery thresholds suffer either from the square-root bottleneck or from a $\log(n)$-factor. We hasten to add, however, that we do not specify decoders that achieve our threshold, rather we only prove the existence of such decoders.

The second example serves to demonstrate that a random vector’s sparsity level in terms of the number of non-zero entries may differ vastly from its rectifiability and analyticity parameter. Specifically, we construct an $(r + t - 1)$-rectifiable and $(r + t - 1)$-analytic random vector with sparsity level—in terms of the number of non-zero entries of the vector’s realizations—$rt \gg (r + t - 1)$.

**Example 5.** Let $x = a \otimes b \in \mathbb{R}^{kl}$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^l$, and $a$ and $b$ are statistically independent. Suppose that $a$ has $r$ i.i.d. Gaussian entries at positions drawn uniformly at random and all other entries equal to zero and $b$ has $t$ i.i.d. Gaussian entries at positions drawn uniformly at random and all other entries equal to zero. Lemma 4 below shows that $x$ is $(r + t - 1)$-analytic. Furthermore, a straightforward analysis reveals that the $(r + t - 1)$-rectifiable set

$$\mathcal{U} = \{a \otimes b : a \in A_r, b \in B_t\},$$

where

$$A_r = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|a\|_0 = r, \mu_a = 1\}$$

$$B_t = \{b \in \mathbb{R}^l : \|b\|_0 = t\}$$

and $\mu_a$ denotes the first non-zero entry of $a$, satisfies $P[x \in \mathcal{U}] = 1$. By (ii) in Lemma 3, $x$ is $(r + t - 1)$-rectifiable. It then follows from Corollary 1 that $n > (r + t - 1)$ is sufficient for $P1$ to hold and from Corollary 2 that $n \geq (r + t - 1)$ is necessary for $P2$ to hold. Note that, for $r, t$ large, we have $(r + t - 1) \ll rt$. What is interesting here is that the sparsity level of $x$—as quantified by the number of non-zero entries of the realizations of $x$—is $rt$, yet $r + t$ linear measurements suffice for recovery of $x$ with zero probability of error.

**Lemma 4.** Let $x = a \otimes b \in \mathbb{R}^{kl}$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^l$ are random vectors such that $\mu_a \times \mu_b \ll \mathcal{H}^{k+l}$. Then, $x$ is $(k + l - 1)$-analytic.
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