Version: 4/5/2020 GMT 19/20, Exercise Sheet 2 Reference: lectures 2&3 In the following measures are always positive Bovel measures on a locally compact, separable metric space X. Ex.1 Let µ be a measure on X. Prove that the smallest closed set C which supports µ exists. Thus the definition of support of µ is well posed. Ex.2 Give examples of <u>finite</u> measures  $\mu, \lambda$  on [0,1] s.t.  $\mu \perp \lambda$ and  $supp(\mu) = supp(\lambda) = [0, 1]$ . Ex.3 Prove that it is not possible to associate to every measure  $\mu \text{ on } X$  a Bovel set  $S(\mu) \subset X$  s.t.  $S(\mu)$  supports  $\mu$  and  $S(\mu) \cap S(\lambda) = \phi$  whenever  $\mu \perp \lambda$ . An atom of a measure  $\mu$  is a point  $x \in X$  s.t.  $\mu(\{x\})>0$ ; µ is non-atomic if it has not atoms; µ is atomic if every set E with  $\mu(E) > 0$  contains on atom. Note that these are not quite the definitions you may find in textbooks on general measure theory, but are equivalent for Borel measures.

A measure  $\mu$  is called 6-finite if there exist countably many (Borel) sets  $X_i$  s.t.  $\mu(X_i) < +\infty$  fi and  $UX_i = X$ .

Ex. 4
Given a measure μ on X, let p(x):= μ({x}) for every x∈X, and let
μ(E):= Σ p(x) := sup { Σ p(x): E' C E x∈E p(x) := sup { Σ p(x): E' C E x∈E' E' finite }.
Prove that
(a) μ is an atomic measure on X;
(b) μ = μ + λ where λ is a non-atomic measure;
(c) p can be any function from X to [0,+∞], even not Borel.

Ex.5 Let μ be a 5-finite measure. Then the following are equivalent: (a) μ is atomic; (b) there exists the smallest Borel set E that supports μ.

Ex. 6 Let  $\mu$  be a non-atomic locally finite measure. Then for every  $0 \le m \le M(\mu)$  there exists E s.t.  $\mu(E) = m$ . <u>Hint for  $X = \mathbb{R}$ </u>: use that the function  $f: [0, +\infty] \to [0, +\infty]$  defined by  $f(x) := \mu((-x, x))$  is increasing and <u>continuous</u>.

We denote by  $\mathcal{H}^{O}$  the measure that "counts points, on every space X, namely  $\mathcal{H}^{O}(E) := \# E =$  the number of points of E if E is finite and + $\infty$  otherwise.

Ex. 7 Let  $\mu$  be a finite, non-atomic measure on X. Then  $\mu \ll \mathcal{H}^{\sigma}$  but Radon - Nikodym Theorem does not apply, that is,  $\mu$  cannot be written as  $\mu = \rho \mathcal{H}^{\sigma}$  for any  $\rho \in L^{1}(\mathcal{H}^{\sigma})$ .

## Ex.8 Let $\mu$ be a (Borel) measure on X, $f: X \rightarrow X'$ a Borel map, and let $f_{\#} \mu$ be the push-forward of $\mu$ according to f, that is, $[f_{\#}\mu](E) := \mu(\bar{f}'(E)) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{B}(X')$ Prove that $f_{\#}\mu$ is indeed a (Borel) measure on X'. Ex. 9 Let $\mu$ be a measure on X. Then for every $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ (and in particular for $\mu$ -a.e. X) there holds

This proves that the ratios in the limits and the limsup in Theorem 2, § 2.1, Lect. 2, are well-defined for  $\mu$ -a.e.X.

Ex. 10  
Let 
$$\mu$$
 be a 6-finite measure on X and fix  $x \in X$ .  
Then  $\mu(\partial B(x,r)) = 0$  for all  $r > 0$  except countably many.  
In particular  $\mu(\overline{B(x,r)}) = \mu(B(x,r))$  for all such  $r$ .

Ex. 11 Let  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  be locally finite measures on X, let  $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ , and for r > 0 set  $g(r) := \frac{\lambda(\overline{B(x,r)})}{\mu(\overline{B(x,r)})}; \quad h(r) := \frac{\lambda(B(x,r))}{\mu(B(x,r))}.$ Then (a) g(r) and h(r) are well-defined for  $0 < r \le r_0$  where  $r_0$  is such that  $\overline{B(x,r_0)}$  is compact;

(b) g, h are resp. right - and left-continuous on 
$$(0, r_0)$$
;

- (c) q(r) = h(r) iff  $\lambda(\partial B(x,r)) = \mu(\partial B(x,r)) = O$  (that is, all r > 0except countably many);
- (d) g, r are continuous at all  $r \in (0, r_0)$  s.t. g(r) = h(r)(that is, all r>o except countably many);

(e) 
$$\limsup_{r \to 0} g(r) = \limsup_{r \to 0} h(r)$$
;  $\limsup_{r \to 0} g(r) = \liminf_{r \to 0} h(r)$ .

This exercise shows that all limits and limsups that D appear in Theorems 2 and 3 and in Corallary 4 in  $\S 2.1$ , Lecture 2, do not change if we replace the closed balls B(x,r) with the open balls B(x,r).

A locally finite measure µ on X has the doubling property if there exists M<+00 s.t.

$$\mu(\overline{B(x,2r)}) \leq M \mu(\overline{B(x,r)}) \quad \forall \text{ ball } \overline{B(x,r)}; \quad (*)$$

$$\mu \text{ has the asymptotic doubling property if}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \mu(\overline{B(x,2r)}) \\ r \rightarrow 0 \end{array} & \mu(\overline{B(x,r)}) \end{array} < +\infty \quad \text{for } \mu-a \cdot e \cdot x \ . \end{array} \quad (**)$$

#### Ex. 12

Prove that the definitions above are NOT affected if one replaces

- (a) the closed balls in (\*) and (\*\*) with the corresponding open ones;
- (b) the number 2 in B(x,2r) in (\*) and (\*\*) with any M>1 (and in (\*\*) m may depend on x).

In the next exercises F is a finite dimensional normed space, and  $\lambda = \rho\mu$  an F-valued measure.

Ex. 13  
Data 
$$p \in L^{1}(\mu, F)$$
, dimostrare che effettivamente  
 $\lambda(E) := \int_{E} p d\mu$   
ë una misuva di Bovel a valori in F.

Cosa succede se invece  $p \in L'_{loc}(\mu, F)$ ?

Ex. 14 Let  $\lambda = \rho\mu$  and  $\lambda = \tilde{\rho}\tilde{\mu}$  be two representations of  $\lambda$ . Prove that  $|\rho|_F \mu = |\tilde{\rho}|_F \tilde{\mu}$  and  $||\rho||_{L'(\mu)} = ||\tilde{\rho}||_{L'(\tilde{\mu})}$ . Thus the definition of 121 and 1M(2) do not depend on the representation of  $\lambda$ .

Ex.15  
For every set ECN let 
$$\lambda(E) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 be defined by  
 $(\lambda(E))_n := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & \text{if } n \in E, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus E. \end{cases}$   
Brown that

Trove that

(a) 
$$\lambda$$
 is a 5-finite measure on N;  
(b)  $\lambda$  cannot be written as  $\lambda = \rho \mathcal{H}^0$  for any  $\rho \in L'(\mathcal{H}^0)$ ;  
(c) Theorem 1 in § 2.2, Lecture 3, does not apply to  $\lambda$ .

Given a locally compact topological space X,  $\tilde{X} := XU\{\infty\}$  denotes the one-point (or Alexandrov) compactification of X. D Thus a base of neighbourhoods of  $\infty$  is given by the sets X\K with K compact in X; in particular  $\infty$  is an isolated point iff X is compact. Moreover if X is metrizable so is  $\tilde{X}$ .

# Ex. 16 Consider the following classes of maps $g: X \to F^*$ : $B_1 := \{g: g \text{ Borel, } |g|_F \leq 1 \text{ on } X\}$ , $B_2 := \{g \in B_1 : g \text{ continuous}\}$ , $B_3 := \{g \in B_2 : \lim_{X \to \infty} g(X) = 0\}$ . (This make sense only if f is not compact Given $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(X, F)$ , prove that $M(\lambda) = \inf_{g \in B_1} \int_X g d\lambda = \inf_{g \in B_2} \int_X g d\lambda = \inf_{g \in B_3} \int_X g d\lambda$ . and the first infimum is a minimum. This exercise proves (most of) Proposition 3 of §2.2, Lect.3. The key point is that for every VEF there holds

$$\langle w, v \rangle \leq |w|_{F^*} |v|_F \quad \forall w \in F^*$$

(by the definition of the dual norm  $|\cdot|_{F^*}$ ) and equality holds for some  $w \in F^*$  (this is a corollary of Hahn-Banach Theorem).

Note that the proof does not really uses that Fis finite dimensional.

#### Ex. 17 Show that Riesz Theorem (Theorem 4 of § 2.2, Lecture 3) for an arbitrary (finite dimensional) Normed space $\mp$ follows from the case $\mp = \mathbb{R}$ .

Ex. 18 Show that Riesz, Theorem for X locally compact (Theorem 5...) follows from Riesz, Theorem for the one-point compactification X (Theorem 4). Hint: Let X be locally compact. Then  $\mathcal{E}_{0}(X,F^{*})$  is naturally identified with a subspace of  $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{X},F^{*})$ ; then a bounded linear functional T on  $\mathcal{E}_{0}(X,F^{*})$  can be extended to a bounded linear functional T on  $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{X},F^{*})$  and the is represented by a measure

 $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}(\tilde{X}, F)$ . Let then  $\lambda$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{\lambda}$  to  $\chi$ ...

Ex. 19 Let  $\lambda_n \in \mathcal{M}(X,F)$  be of the form  $\lambda_n = \alpha_n S_{X_n}$  with  $X_n \in X$  and  $\alpha_n \in F$ . (a) if  $X_n \to X$  in X,  $\alpha_n \to \alpha$  then  $\lambda_n \xrightarrow{*} \alpha \cdot S_X$ ; (b) if  $\lambda_n \xrightarrow{*} \lambda$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $X_n$  and  $\alpha_n$  converge; (c) if  $\lambda_n \xrightarrow{*} 0$ , what can we say about  $\alpha_n$  and  $x_n$ ?

Ex. 20 For every N=1,2,... let  $D_N$  be the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}(X,T)$  of the form  $\lambda = \alpha_1 S_{X_1} + \cdots + \alpha_N S_{X_N}$  with  $\alpha_i \in T$ ,  $x_i \in X$ , and let  $D:= \bigvee_{N=1}^{U} D_N$ . Prove that each  $D_N$  is weak\* closed and D is dense in  $\mathcal{H}(X,T)$ .

Ex. 21  
Let 
$$\lambda_n$$
,  $\lambda$  be measures in  $\mathcal{H}(X,F)$  such that:  
(a)  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda_n) \leq C$  for some  $C <+\infty$  independent of  $n$ ;  
(b)  $\int_X g \, d\lambda_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{}_X g \, d\lambda$  for every  $g$  in  $X$  deuse in  $\mathcal{E}(X) \leftarrow \stackrel{\text{not}}{\mathcal{E}(X,F^*)!}$   
Then  $\lambda_n \xrightarrow{*} \lambda$ . Moreover (a) is automatically verified if  $X = \mathcal{E}(X)$ .

Ex. 22  
Let 
$$X := [0, 1]$$
 and let  $\lambda_n := n (S_{1/n} - S_0) \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ . Then:  
(a)  $\int_X g \, d\lambda_n$  converges for every  $g \in C^1(X)$ ; space of x-Hölder  
(b) for every  $x \in [0, 1]$  there exists  $g \in C^{0, \alpha}(X)$  s.t.  $\int_X g \, d\lambda_n \to +\infty$ .

Ex. 23 Let C be the standard Cantor set, that is,  $C := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$  where  $C_0 := [0,1]$ ,  $C_1 := [0,1/3] \cup [2/3,1]$  and so on ... Thus each  $C_n$  is the union of closed intervals  $I_{n,1}, ..., I_{n,2^n}$  with length 3<sup>-n</sup>. For every n let  $\mu_n := 2^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} S_{X_{ni}}$  with  $x_{ni} \in I_{ni}$ . Then  $\mu_n \stackrel{*}{\to} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}^+(C)$  where  $\mu$  is uniquely determined by  $\mu(I_{n,i}) = 2^{-n}$  for every n, i.

Ex. 24 Let F be a family of functions  $g: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ , and let  $f^+, f^$ be the upper and lower envelopes of F, that is  $f(x) := \inf\{g(x) : g \in F\}; \quad f^+(x) := \sup\{g(x) : g \in F\}.$ Prove that: (a) if every  $g \in f$  is lower semicont. Then  $f^+$  is l.s.c.;

(b) if every  $g \in F$  is upper semicont. then  $f^-$  is u.s.c.

Note that this exercise works with X any topological space.

Ex. 25  
Let 7 be a family of functions 
$$g:X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 with  $L:=\sup_{g\in\mathcal{F}} Lip(g) <+\infty$ ,  
and let  $f^+$ ,  $f^-$  be as above.  
Then either  $f^+=+\infty$  on X or  $f^+$  takes values in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $Lip(f^+) \leq L$   
And a similar statement holds for  $f^-$ .

Ex. 26  
Let 
$$E \subset X$$
, let  $f: E \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be finite at some point of  $E$ ,  
and for every  $x \in X$ ,  $m \ge 0$  set  
 $f_m(x) := \inf \{f(y) + m \cdot d(x, y) : y \in E\}$   
Then  
(a)  $f_m$  is Lipschitz and Lip $(f) \le m$  for every  $m \ge 0$ ;  
(b)  $f_m(x)$  is increasing in  $m$  for every  $x \in X$ ;  
(c)  $\lim_{m \to +\infty} f_m(x) = \sup_{m \ge 0} f_m(x) \le f(x) \quad \forall x \in E \text{ and } = \text{ holds iff } f \text{ is } l.s.c. at x.$   
(d) if  $f$  is  $l.s.c.$ ,  $f_m(x) \uparrow f(x) \quad \forall x \in E$ .

In Exercises 25 and 26 it is not needed that X is locally compact.

### Ex. 27 Using Exercises 24-26 fill the missing details in the proof of § 2.2.4, Lecture 3.

Ex. 28 Given  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^{+}(X)$ , let  $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}^{+}(\tilde{X})$  be the natural extension of  $\mu$  to the compactification  $\tilde{X}$ , that is,  $\tilde{\mu}(E) := \mu(E \cap X) = \mu(E \setminus \{\infty\}) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{B}(\tilde{X}).$ Let  $\mu \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}^{+}(X)$  and assume that there exists  $m := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{M}(\mu_{n}).$ Prove that  $\tilde{\mu} \xrightarrow{*} \tilde{\mu} + c \cdot S_{\infty}$  with  $c := m - \mathbb{M}(\mu).$ 

## Ex. 29 Prove the statements contained in § 2.2.5, Lecture 3. Hint: Use Exercise 28 to reduce to the statements in § 2.2.4.

Ex. 30  
Let 
$$\lambda_n \stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow} \lambda$$
 in  $\mathcal{M}(X,F)$  and assume that  $|\lambda_n| \stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow} \mu$ . Then  
(a)  $|\lambda| \leq \mu$  (that is,  $|\lambda|(E) \leq \mu(E) \forall E$ );  
(b) if  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  then  $|\lambda| = \mu$ .  
Hint for (a): prove first that for every A open in X  
 $|\lambda|(A) = \sup \left\{ \int_X g d\lambda : g \in \mathcal{C}(A,F^*), |g|_F \leq l \right\}$   
and deduce that  $|\lambda|(A) \leq \mu(A)$ .

Ex. 31 Prove the statements contained in § 2.2.6, Lecture 3.